arXiv:1612.04586v2 [math.AG] 5 Jan 2017

TORSION FREE SHEAVES ON WEIERSTRASS CUBIC CURVES AND THE CLASSICAL YANG–BAXTER EQUATION

IGOR BURBAN AND LENNART GALINAT

Abstract. This work deals with an algebro–geometric theory of solutions of the classical Yang–Baxter equation based on torsion free coherent sheaves of Lie algebras on Weierstraß cubic curves.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to a study of the classical Yang–Baxter equation (CYBE)

$$\big[r^{12}(x_1,x_2),r^{13}(x_1,x_3)\big]+\big[r^{13}(x_1,x_3),r^{23}(x_2,x_3)\big]+\big[r^{12}(x_1,x_2),r^{23}(x_2,x_3)\big]=0$$

from an algebro–geometric perspective. Here, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra and $r:(\mathbb{C}^2,0)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ is the germ of a meromorphic function. Solutions of CYBE (also called r–matrices) have numerous applications in mathematical physics, most notably in the modern theory of classical integrable systems; see for example [25, 42]. The simplest solution of CYBE is the Yang's r–matrix $r(x,y)=\dfrac{\gamma}{y-x}$, where $\gamma\in\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ is the Casimir element.

Belavin and Drinfeld proved that any non–degenerate skew–symmetric solution of CYBE is (up to a certain equivalence relation) either elliptic, trigonometric or rational [6, 7]. Elliptic solutions of CYBE exist only for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$; explicit formulae for them were found by Belavin [5]. In [6] the authors showed that Belavin's list of elliptic r–matrices is in fact exhaustive. Moreover, Belavin and Drinfeld classified all trigonometric solutions of CYBE; the underlying combinatorial pattern (including both discrete and continuous parameters) turned out to be rather complicated [6]. The theory of rational solutions of CYBE was developed by Stolin in [51, 52, 53].

Let $E=\overline{V\big(u^2-4v^3+g_2v+g_3\big)}\subset\mathbb{P}^2$ be a Weierstraß cubic curve, where $g_2,g_3\in\mathbb{C}$. It is well–known that E is singular if and only if $g_2^3-27g_3^2=0$; in this case E has a unique singular point s, which is a cusp for $g_2=0=g_3$ and a node otherwise. Let $\breve{E}$ be the regular part of E, K the field of meromorphic functions on E and ω a regular differential one–form on E (taken in the Rosenlicht sense if E is singular; see e.g. [3, Section II.6]).

Next, consider a coherent sheaf of Lie algebras $\mathcal{A}$ on E such that:

(1) $H^0(E,\mathcal{A})=0=H^1(E,\mathcal{A})$;
(2) $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly $\mathfrak{g}$–locally free on $\breve{E}$, i.e. $\mathcal{A}\big|_x\cong\mathfrak{g}$ for all $x\in\breve{E}$.

The first assumption implies that the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is torsion free (in particular, locally free when E is smooth). A consequence of the second assumption is that the space A_K of global sections of the rational envelope of $\mathcal{A}$ is a simple Lie algebra over K. In the case

the curve E is singular, consider the $\mathbb{C}$–bilinear pairing κ^ω given as the composition

$$A_K \times A_K \xrightarrow{\kappa} K \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}_s^\omega} \mathbb{C},$$

where κ is the Killing form of A_K and $\mathsf{res}_s^\omega(f) = \mathsf{res}_s(f\omega)$ for $f \in K$ (the residue of the meromorphic one–form $f\omega$ at the point $s \in E$ is taken in the Rosenlicht sense). We impose the following additional requirement (which is automatically fulfilled provided the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is locally free) on the germ A_s of the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ at the singular point s:

(3) A_s is a coisotropic Lie subalgebra of A_K with respect to the pairing κ^ω.

The first main result of this article is the following.

Result A. Consider a pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$, where E is a Weierstraß cubic and $\mathcal{A}$ a sheaf of Lie algebras on E satisfying the properties $(1) - (3)$ above.



Then there exists a distinguished regular section $\rho \in \Gamma\big(\breve{E} \times \breve{E} \setminus \Delta, \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}\big)$ (called *geometric r–matrix*) which is a non–degenerate skew–symmetric solution of CYBE:

$$\big[\rho^{12}, \rho^{13}\big] + \big[\rho^{12}, \rho^{23}\big] + \big[\rho^{13}, \rho^{23}\big] = 0,$$

where $\Delta \subset \breve{E} \times \breve{E}$ is the diagonal and both sides of the above equality are viewed as meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$ over the triple product $\breve{E} \times \breve{E} \times \breve{E}$; see Theorem 4.3. Trivializing the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ over some open subset of $\breve{E}$, we get an elliptic solution of CYBE in the case E is elliptic, a trigonometric solution in the case E is nodal and a rational solution in the case E is cuspidal; see Subsection 4.4. It turns out that at least all *rational* and *elliptic* solutions of CYBE arise from an appropriate pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$; see Theorem 5.3 and Remark 4.13.

The idea to express solutions of CYBE in algebro–geometric terms was suggested for the first time by Cherednik [22]. Going into a different direction, Reyman and Semenov–Tian–Shansky observed in [41] that all elliptic solutions of CYBE can be obtained from appropriate decompositions of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$ into a direct sum of Lagrangian subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!) = \mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!] \dotplus W$, where $\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$ is equipped with the pairing $\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!) \times \mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by the formula $(f, g) \mapsto \mathsf{res}_0\big(\kappa(f, g)dz\big)$; in this case, $\big(\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!), \mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!], W\big)$ is a so–called *Manin triple*. See also [28, Lecture 6] for an account of the theory of Manin triples, where some basic trigonometric and rational solutions were described in such a way. The idea to realize Lagrangian decompositions of $\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$ geometrically was suggested by Drinfeld [23]. In fact, starting with a pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$ as in Result A, we get a *canonical* Lagrangian decomposition $Q(\widehat{A}_p) = \widehat{A}_p \dotplus \Gamma\big(E \setminus \{p\}, \mathcal{A}\big)$, where $p = (0 : 1 : 0)$ is the "infinite" point of

the Weierstraß cubic E, the Lie algebra $\widehat{A}_p$ is the completion of the germ of $\mathcal{A}$ at p, $Q(\widehat{A}_p)$ is the rational envelope of $\widehat{A}_p$ and the pairing on $Q(\widehat{A}_p)$ is given by the *global* differential one–form ω on E (which is unique up to a scalar); see Proposition 6.1. We show in Theorem 6.4 that both geometric approaches to CYBE match with each other and lead to the same power series expansion for a solution of CYBE.

The interest to the geometric theory of Yang–Baxter equations was revitalized by Polishchuk [39]. He discovered that appropriately interpreted triple Massey products in the derived category $D^b\big(\mathsf{Coh}(X)\big)$ of coherent sheaves on a *Calabi–Yau curve* X (a reduced projective curve with trivial canonical sheaf) yield solutions of the so–called associative Yang–Baxter equation (AYBE) with spectral parameters. This new type of Yang–Baxter equations turned out to be closely related both to CYBE for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as well as to the quantum Yang–Baxter equation [40]. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a *simple* locally free sheaf of rank $n \geq 2$ on X. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{A} = Ad(\mathcal{F})$ of traceless endomorphisms of $\mathcal{F}$ is a locally free sheaf of Lie algebras on X with vanishing cohomology, whose fibers are isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Based on the description of simple vector bundles on Kodaira cycles of projective lines given in [15], Polishchuk computed the corresponding trigonometric solutions of AYBE [40]. Recently, Lekili and Polishchuk realized all trigonometric solutions of AYBE in terms of certain triple Massey products in the Fukaya category of an appropriate punctured Riemann surface [34].

Polishchuk's approach was extended in the joint works of the first–named author with Kreußler [18] and Henrich [16]. In particular, the expression of triple Massey products in geometric terms was elaborated in detail and extended to a relative setting of genus one fibrations; more complicated degenerations of elliptic curves (like the cuspidal Weierstraß cubic) leading to rational solutions, were included into the picture.

The main novelty of this paper is the appearance of torsion free sheaves in the theory of CYBE. It turns out that precisely torsion free sheaves on Weierstraß cubics *which are not locally free* underly the combinatorial complexity of the entire panorama of trigonometric and rational solutions. Let $X \xrightarrow{\mu} E$ be the *Weierstraß model* of a Calabi–Yau curve X and $\mathcal{F}$ a simple vector bundle on X. We prove that the torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{A} := \mu_*\big(Ad(\mathcal{F})\big)$ satisfies all properties which are necessary to apply Result A to the pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$; see Proposition 4.9. As a consequence, the approach of [39, 40, 16] to the study of solutions of CYBE for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, based on a computation of triple Massey products for simple vector bundles over non–integral Calabi–Yau curves, can be naturally included into the framework, where only Weierstraß curves are necessary; see Theorem 4.10.

It is a natural question to ask, to what extend the computations with torsion free sheaves which are not locally free, could be made constructive. The theory of torsion free sheaves on singular curves of arithmetic genus one is nowadays relatively well–understood, thanks to the combination of methods of the theory of matrix problems and the technique of Fourier–Mukai transforms; see for instance [24, 15, 13, 12, 9, 14, 8, 17, 10, 11].

We illustrate Result A by the following example. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra of Dynkin type A_{n-1}, realized in the usual way as the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ of traceless square matrices. We denote by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$ the conventional triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into the direct sum of the Lie algebras of strictly upper triangular, diagonal and strictly lower triangular matrices. Let $\Phi_\pm$ be the set of postive/negative roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. Concretely,

$\Phi_+ = \big\{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \big| 1 \leq i < j \leq n\big\}$; for $\alpha = (i,j) \in \Phi_\pm$ we write $e_\alpha = e_{i,j}$. Let $\xi = \exp\Big(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\Big)$ be a primitive n–th root of 1 and $\zeta_j = \xi^j$. Then we have the following "natural" bases of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$:

- $\big(g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}\big)$ with $g_j = \mathsf{diag}\big(1, \zeta_j, \ldots, \zeta_j^{n-1}\big)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.
- $\big(h_1, \ldots, h_{n-1}\big)$ with $h_j = \mathsf{diag}\big(0, \ldots, 0, 1, -1, 0, \ldots, 0\big)$, where 1 stands at the j-th entry for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.
- In both cases, $\big(g_1^*, \ldots, g_{n-1}^*\big)$ and $\big(h_1^*, \ldots, h_{n-1}^*\big)$ denote the dual bases of $\mathfrak{h}$.

Result B. Let E be a singular Weierstraß cubic and $\mathcal{Q}$ a simple torsion free and not locally free sheaf of rank $n \geq 2$ with $\chi(\mathcal{Q}) = 1$ (such $\mathcal{Q}$ is unique up to an isomorphism; see e.g. [17]). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the sheaf of Lie algebras on E defined by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow End_E(\mathcal{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{tr}} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is the direct image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ under the normalization map $\mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow E$ and tr is the trace map. Then the pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$ satisfies all necessary assumptions to apply Result A and gives the following solutions of CYBE for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (see Theorem 7.7).

1. If E is nodal then $r(x,y) = r_{\mathsf{st}}(x,y) + r_{\mathfrak{h}} + r_{\mathsf{sp}}$, where

$$r_{\mathsf{st}}(x,y) = \frac{x}{y-x}\gamma + \Big(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_j^* \otimes g_j + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} e_{-\alpha} \otimes e_\alpha\Big)$$

is the standard quasi–trigonometric r–matrix [6, 33], whereas

$$r_{\mathfrak{h}} = \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big(\frac{1+\zeta_j}{1-\zeta_j}\Big) g_{n-j} \otimes g_j \quad \text{and} \quad r_{\mathsf{sp}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} e_{-\alpha} \wedge \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p(\alpha)} e_{\tau^k(\alpha)}\Big).$$

Here, for $\alpha = (i,j) \in \Phi_+$ we put: $p(\alpha) := i-1$ and $\tau(\alpha) := (i-1, j-1) \in \Phi_+$ provided $i \geq 2$, whereas $a \wedge b := a \otimes b - b \otimes a$ for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$.

2. If E is cuspidal then

$$r(x,y) = \frac{\gamma}{y-x} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h_k^* \wedge e_{k+1,k} + \sum_{k \geq l+2}\big(\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} e_{l-j,k-j-1}\big) \wedge e_{k,l}.$$

List of notations. For convenience of the reader we introduce now the most important notations used in this paper.

– $\Bbbk$ denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. From the point of view of applications to CYBE, the case $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$ is of main interest.

– We work in the category of algebraic varieties (respectively, algebraic schemes) over $\Bbbk$. The usage of the Künneth isomorphism is one of the reasons for this choice. However, for $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$ it is possible to pass to the complex–analytic category at most places. In particular, we talk about *meromorphic functions* on X, whereas the terminology *rational functions* would be more consistent.

– Given an algebraic variety (or scheme) X, $\breve{X}$ always stands for the regular part of X. Next, $\mathsf{Coh}(X)$ (respectively, $\mathsf{VB}(X), \mathsf{TF}(X)$) denotes the category of coherent sheaves (respectively, locally free sheaves, torsion free sheaves) on X; $D^b\big(\mathsf{Coh}(X)\big)$ is the derived

category of $\mathsf{Coh}(X)$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_X$ the structure sheaf of X. If X is Cohen–Macaulay then Ω_X denotes the dualizing sheaf of X.

– We write Hom and End when working with global morphisms between coherent sheaves whereas *Hom* and *End* stand for interior Homs in $\mathsf{Coh}(X)$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a locally free sheaf on X then $Ad(\mathcal{F})$ denotes the sheaf of traceless endomorphisms of $\mathcal{F}$.

– For a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X and a point $x \in X$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}\big|_x$ the fiber of $\mathcal{F}$ over x. We use all possible notations $\Gamma(X,\mathcal{F}), H^0(X,\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ for the space of global sections of $\mathcal{F}$. If X is projective then $\chi(\mathcal{F})$ denotes the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{F}$.

– A *Calabi–Yau curve* X is a reduced projective Gorenstein curve with trivial canonical sheaf. The irreducible Calabi–Yau curves are the *Weierstraß cubics*

$$wv^2 = 4u^3 - g_2uw^2 - g_3w^3,$$

where $g_1, g_2 \in \Bbbk$. The complete list of Calabi–Yau curves is known; see for example [50, Section 3]. Namely, a Calabi–Yau curve X is either

- an elliptic curve;
- a cycle of $m \geq 1$ projective lines (Kodaira fiber I_m; for $m = 1$ it is a nodal Weierstraß cubic);
- a cuspidal Weierstraß cubic (Kodaira fiber II), a tachnode plane cubic curve (Kodaira fiber III) or a generic configuration of n concurrent lines in $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ for $m \geq 3$.

– In this work, $\mathfrak{g}$ always denotes a finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra; $\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbb{C}$ is the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ is the Casimir element.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by the DFG project Bu–1866/3–1. We are grateful to Alexander Stolin for helpful discussions.

2. Survey on the classical Yang–Baxter equation

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple complex Lie algebra and $r : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ the germ of a meromorphic function. We begin with the so–called *generalized classical Yang–Baxter equation* (GCYBE), which is the following system of constraints on the coefficients of r:

$$\big[r^{12}(x_1,x_2), r^{13}(x_1,x_3)\big] + \big[r^{13}(x_1,x_3), r^{23}(x_2,x_3)\big] + \big[r^{32}(x_3,x_2), r^{12}(x_1,x_2)\big] = 0. \tag{1}$$

The upper indices in this equation indicate various embeddings of $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ into $U\otimes U\otimes U$, where U is an arbitrary associative algebra containing $\mathfrak{g}$ (for example, the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$). The function r^{13} is defined as

$$r^{13} : \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\imath_{13}} U\otimes U\otimes U,$$

where $\imath_{13}(a\otimes b) = a\otimes 1\otimes b$. The other maps r^{12}, r^{23} and r^{32} have a similar meaning. Note that for instance

$$\big[(a\otimes b)^{12}, (c\otimes d)^{13}\big] = \big[a\otimes b\otimes 1, c\otimes 1\otimes d\big] = [a,c]\otimes b\otimes d \in \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}$$

for arbitrary $a,b,c,d \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence, the left–hand side of (1) does not depend on the embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$ into an ambient associative algebra U.

The Killing form $\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbb{C}$ induces an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\kappa}} \mathsf{End}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad a\otimes b \mapsto \big(c \mapsto \kappa(ac)\cdot b\big). \tag{2}$$

A solution r of (1) is called *non–degenerate*, if for some (hence for a generic) point (x_1, x_2) in the domain of definition of r, the linear map $\widetilde{\kappa}\big(r(x_1, x_2)\big) \in \mathsf{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an isomorphism. One can perform the following transformations with a solution r of (1).

- *Gauge transformations.* This means that for any holomorphic germ $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathsf{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$, the function

$$\widetilde{r}(x_1, x_2) := \big(\phi(x_1) \otimes \phi(x_2)\big) r(x_1, x_2). \tag{3}$$

  is again a solution of (1).
- *Rescaling.* For any germ of a non–zero meromorphic function $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \xrightarrow{u} \mathbb{C}$

$$\check{r}(x_1, x_2) := u(x_2) r(x_1, x_2) \tag{4}$$

  is again a solution of (1).
- *Change of variables.* Let $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \xrightarrow{\eta_i} (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a germ automorphism for $i = 1, 2$. Then the function

$$\hat{r}(x_1, x_2) := r\big(\eta_1(x_1), \eta_2(x_2)\big). \tag{5}$$

  is again a solution of (1).

It is easy to see that all these transformations preserve non–degeneracy of a solution of (1). Next, a solution r of (1) is called *skew–symmetric* if

$$r(x_1, x_2) = -\sigma\big(r(x_2, x_1)\big), \tag{6}$$

where $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is given by the rule $a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$. For skew–symmetric solutions, the generalized classical Yang–Baxter equation (1) takes the form

$$\big[r^{12}(x_1, x_2), r^{13}(x_1, x_3)\big] + \big[r^{13}(x_1, x_3), r^{23}(x_2, x_3)\big] + \big[r^{12}(x_1, x_2), r^{23}(x_2, x_3)\big] = 0. \tag{7}$$

This is the conventional *classical Yang–Baxter equation* with *two* spectral variables (CYBE). Automorphisms of skew–symmetric solutions (1) preserving the skew–symmetry are more restrictive. Namely, we are allowed to rescale a solution only by a constant function; a change of variables has to be performed by the same automorphism $\eta = \eta_1 = \eta_2$. However, the skew–symmetry is preserved under arbitrary gauge transformations.

By a result of Belavin and Drinfeld [7], any *non–degenerate unitary* solution of (7) is equivalent (after a change of variables and a gauge transformation) to a solution $r(x_1, x_2) = \varrho(x_2 - x_1)$, depending just on the difference (or the quotient) of spectral parameters. In other words, (7) reduces to the equation

$$\big[\varrho^{12}(x), \varrho^{13}(x + y)\big] + \big[\varrho^{13}(x + y), \varrho^{23}(y)\big] + \big[\varrho^{12}(x), \varrho^{23}(y)\big] = 0 \tag{8}$$

for the germ of a meromorphic function $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \xrightarrow{\varrho} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ (the so–called CYBE with *one* spectral parameter). According to Belavin and Drinfeld [6], any non–degenerate solution of (8) is automatically skew–symmetric and has a simple pole at 0 with residue equal to a multiple of the Casimir element $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. This means that the theory of skew–symmetric solutions of the classical Yang–Baxter equation with two spectral parameters (7) basically reduces to a description of solutions of (8). For some applications, dependence on the difference of spectral parameters is crucial, but from the theoretical perspective, the version of the classical Yang–Baxter equation with two spectral parameters (7) seems to be more natural.

A few words about the history of the subject. The concept on an R–matrix controlling exact solvability of certain models of mathematical physics appeared in works of Yang and Baxter in 60's–70's. The development of the underlying algebraic theory, including in particular the precise formulation of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation itself, was initiated by Faddeev and his school; see e.g. [25]. The classical Yang–Baxter equation (8) was introduced by Sklyanin [45] and Belavin [5]. Nowadays, it plays a central role in the modern theory of classical integrable systems via an appropriate version of the so–called Adler–Kostant–Symes scheme; see e.g. [25, 42]. The generalized classical Yang–Baxter equation (1) was discovered by Cherednik [21]. Solutions of this equation are also interesting from the point of view of applications in the mathematical physics [2, 35]. New non–skew–symmetric solutions of (1) of all types (elliptic, trigonometric, rational) were constructed by Skrypnyk [46, 47]; applications of (1) both to classical and quantum integrable systems were extensively studied by this author; see e.g. [46, 47, 49].

3. Geometric solutions of the generalized classical Yang–Baxter equation

3.1. **The residue sequence.** In this subsection, let X be an algebraic curve over $\Bbbk$ (not necessarily integral), $C \subset X$ a connected non–empty *smooth* open subset, $C \xrightarrow{\delta} X \times C$ the diagonal embedding and $\Delta = \mathsf{Im}(\delta)$.

Proposition 3.1. *There exists the following short exact sequence of coherent sheaves on the algebraic surface $X \times C$ (called* residue sequence*):*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X\times C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X\times C}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}_\Delta} \delta_*\big(Hom_C(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C)\big) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{9}$$

Proof. We have to define the morphism of sheaves res_Δ. For any point $p \in C$, let $U \subset X\times C$ be an open neighbourhood of $(p,p) \in X\times C$ and $V := \delta^{-1}\big(U\cap\Delta\big)$. Let $f \in \Gamma\big(U, \mathcal{O}_{X\times C}(\Delta)\big)$ and $\omega \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_C)$. Diminishing if necessary the open neighbourhood U, we may write in some local coordinates:

$$f(x,t) = \frac{g(x,t)}{x-t} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \psi(t)dt \tag{10}$$

for some regular functions $g \in \Gamma\big(U, \mathcal{O}_{X\times C}\big)$ and $\psi \in \Gamma(V, C)$. Then we put:

$$\big(\mathsf{res}_\Delta(f)\big)(\omega) := \big(V \ni y \mapsto \psi(y)g(y,y\big). \tag{11}$$

The map $\Gamma\big(U, \mathcal{O}_{X\times C}(\Delta)\big) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_C(V)}\big(\Omega_C(V), \mathcal{O}_C(V)\big)$ defined in this way, is independent of all the choices made and determines the morphism of coherent sheaves res_Δ. The exactness of (9) is then obvious. □

Assume that the open subset $C \subset X$ is such that there exists a nowhere vanishing regular differential form $\omega = \tau(z)dz \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_C)$, defining a trivialization of Ω_C. Then the short exact sequence (9) can be rewritten in the following way:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X\times C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X\times C}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Delta} \mathcal{O}_\Delta \longrightarrow 0. \tag{12}$$

For further applications, let us respell the definition of $\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Delta$ explicitly. As above, for any point $p \in C$, consider an open neighbourhood $U \subset X \times C$ of (p,p), set $V := \delta^{-1}\big(U \cap \Delta\big)$

and take any $f \in \Gamma\big(U, \mathcal{O}_{X\times C}(\Delta)\big)$. Then we put: $\mathsf{res}^{\omega}_{\Delta}(f) := \big(V \ni y \mapsto \tau(y)g(y,y)\big)$, where $f(x,t) = \dfrac{g(x,t)}{x-t}$. The proof of the next result is straightforward.

Lemma 3.2. *The short exact sequence (12) has the following functorial properties with respect to restrictions:*

- *If $C' \subset C$ is an open subset and $\omega' := \omega\big|_{C'}$ then the restriction of (12) on the open subset $X \times C' \subseteq X \times C$ can be canonically identified with the residue sequence given by the differential form ω'.*
- *For any point $p \in C$, the restriction of (12) on the closed subset $X \times \{p\} \subset X \times C$ can be canonically identified with the short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(p) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}^{\omega}_{p}} \Bbbk_p \longrightarrow 0, \tag{13}$$

where $\mathsf{res}^{\omega}_{p}(h) := \mathsf{res}_p(h\omega)$ for any local meromorphic function h in a neighbourhood of p with at most simple pole at p.

3.2. **Some basic facts about sheaves of Lie algebras.** In this subsection, C is an integral curve over $\Bbbk$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ a simple Lie algebra over $\Bbbk$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a coherent sheaf of Lie algebras on C. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *weakly $\mathfrak{g}$–locally free* if $\mathcal{A}\big|_x \cong \mathfrak{g}$ for any $x \in C$.

Remark 3.4. Note that Definition 3.3 *does not imply* that for any $p \in C$ there exists an open neighbourhood $p \in V \subset C$ such that $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}) \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_C)$ as Lie algebras over the ring $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_C)$. However, because of the vanishing $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) = 0$, one can conclude that $\widehat{A}_p \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} \widehat{O}_p$ for any $p \in C$, where $\widehat{A}_p$ and $\widehat{O}_p$ are the completions of the germs of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{O}_C$ at the point p; see for example [26, Section 2] for a proof.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a weakly $\mathfrak{g}$–locally free sheaf of Lie algebras on C. Then the following results are true.*

- *$\mathcal{A}$ is locally free on C viewed as a coherent sheaf.*
- *Let $C = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n$ be an affine open covering such that the Lie algebra $\Gamma(V_i, \mathcal{A})$ is free viewed as $\Gamma(V_i, \mathcal{O}_C)$–module for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the local Killing–forms*

$$\Gamma(V_i, \mathcal{A}) \times \Gamma(V_i, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_i} \Gamma(V_i, \mathcal{O}_C), \quad (f, g) \mapsto \mathsf{tr}\big(\mathsf{ad}(f)\mathsf{ad}(g)\big)$$

coincide on the intersections $V_i \cap V_j$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and define a global isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_C$–modules

$$\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\kappa}} End_C(\mathcal{A}). \tag{14}$$

- *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ be the* Casimir element *(i.e. the preimage of the identity map under $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\kappa}} \mathsf{End}_C(\mathcal{A})$). Then we have:*
  - *For any open subset $V \subset C$ and $f \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A})$ it holds:*

$$\big[\gamma\big|_V, f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f\big] = 0 \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}). \tag{15}$$

  - *For any $x \in C$, the element of the fiber $\gamma\big|_x \in \mathcal{A}\big|_x \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathcal{A}\big|_x$ is the Casimir element of the simple Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}\big|_x \cong \mathfrak{g}$.*

- *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the sheaf of meromorphic functions on C, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}} := \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ be the rational envelope of $\mathcal{A}$ and $K := \Gamma(C, \mathcal{K})$. Then $A_K := \Gamma(C, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}})$ is a simple Lie algebra over the field K (however, in general $A_K \ncong \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} K$).*

Proof. The first statement follows from the assumption that C is reduced. The proof of the second result is straightforward. The equality (15) follows from the fact that the isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(V)$–modules $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(V)} \Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\kappa}} \mathsf{End}_{\mathcal{O}(V)}\big(\Gamma(V, \mathcal{A})\big)$ is also an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(V)$–linear representations of $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{A})$. The second property of Ω is obvious. The last statement follows from the fact that the Killing form $A_K \times A_K \longrightarrow K$ is non–degenerate, which insures the semi–simplicity of A_K. Now assume that $A_K \cong B_1 \times \cdots \times B_m$, where $m \geq 2$ and $B_1, \ldots, B_m$ are some simple Lie algebras over K. Then there exists an open set $U \subset C$ and Lie algebras $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ over the ring $O = \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that each A_i is a finitely generated free O–module satisfying $\mathcal{A}_i \otimes_O K \cong B_i$ and $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) \cong A_1 \times \cdots \times A_m$. But then for any $x \in U$, the fiber $\mathcal{A}\big|_x$ splits into a non–trivial product of Lie algebras, contradiction. □

3.3. **Algebro–geometric approach to GCYBE.** We start with the following algebro–geometric datum $\big((X, \mathcal{A}), (C, \omega)\big)$, where

- X is a Cohen–Macaulay projective curve over $\Bbbk$ (which need not be integral in general).
- $\mathcal{A}$ is a coherent sheaf of Lie algebras on X such that $H^0(X, \mathcal{A}) = 0 = H^1(X, \mathcal{A})$.
- $C \subset X$ is a non–empty smooth *affine* subset such that $\mathcal{A}^\circ := \mathcal{A}\big|_C$ is weakly $\mathfrak{g}$–locally free on C.
- $\omega \in \Gamma(C, \Omega_C)$ is a nowhere vanishing differential one–form.

We shall use the following notation: $A = \Gamma(C, \mathcal{A}^\circ)$ and $O = \Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$; $C \xrightarrow{\delta} X \times C$ is the diagonal embedding and $\Delta = \mathsf{Im}(\delta)$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\big((X, \mathcal{A}), (C, \omega)\big)$ be a datum as above.*



Then the following results are true.

- *The coherent sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is Cohen–Macaulay.*
- *The residue sequence (13) induces a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^\circ \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^\circ(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Delta} \delta_*\big(\mathcal{A}^\circ \otimes \mathcal{A}^\circ\big) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{16}$$

- *We have the vanishing $H^i\big(X \times C, \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^\circ\big) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. From $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ we conclude that $\mathcal{A}$ is torsion free, hence Cohen–Macaulay.

Let $X \xleftarrow{p_1} X \times C \xrightarrow{p_2} C$ be the canonical projections. Since $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ is locally free over C, the induced sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow p_2^*\mathcal{A}^\circ \longrightarrow p_2^*\mathcal{A}^\circ(\Delta) \longrightarrow p_2^*\mathcal{A}^\circ \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Delta \longrightarrow 0$$

is still exact. Next, the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$ is flat over X, hence $Tor_1^{X\times C}\big(p_1^*(\mathcal{A}), p_2^*\mathcal{A}^\circ \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Delta\big) = 0$. This proves exactness of (16). According to Künneth formula, we have a quasi–isomorphism of complexes of vector spaces: $\mathrm{R}\Gamma\big(X\times C, \mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big) \cong \mathrm{R}\Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}\big) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \mathrm{R}\Gamma\big(C,\mathcal{A}^\circ\big) \cong 0$. This implies the third statement. □

Corollary 3.7. *Applying the functor* $\Gamma\big(X\times C, -\big)$ *to the short exact sequence (16), we get an isomorphism of vector spaces:* $\Gamma\big(X\times C, \mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ(\Delta)\big) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Delta} \Gamma(C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\otimes\mathcal{A}^\circ)$.

Definition 3.8. Consider the following commutative diagram of vector spaces over $\Bbbk$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma\big(C,\mathcal{A}^\circ\otimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big) & \xleftarrow{\ \mathsf{res}^\omega_\Delta\ } & \Gamma\big(X\times C, \mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ(\Delta)\big) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{\kappa}} & & \Big\downarrow \\ & & \Gamma\big(X\times C\setminus\Delta, \mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big) \\ & & \Big\downarrow \\ \mathsf{End}_C(\mathcal{A}^\circ) & \xrightarrow{\ T_\omega\ } & \Gamma\big(C\times C\setminus\Delta, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big). \end{array} \tag{17}$$

Here, both right vertical maps are the canonical restrictions, $\widetilde{\kappa}$ is the isomorphism induced by the Killing form, $\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Delta$ is the isomorphism from Corollary 3.7 and T_ω is defined as the composition of known maps. The section

$$\rho = \rho_\omega := T_\omega\big(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}^\circ}\big) \in \Gamma\big(C\times C\setminus\Delta, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big) \tag{18}$$

is canonical up to a choice of the differential form ω and is called *geometric r–matrix* attached to the datum $\big((X,\mathcal{A}),(C,\omega)\big)$.

Lemma 3.9. *Assume that in some local coordinates on the curve C, $\omega = \dfrac{1}{\nu(x)}dx$, where $\nu\in\Gamma(C,\mathcal{O}_C)$ is nowhere vanishing. Then there exists a lift $\widetilde{\gamma}\in\Gamma\big(C\times C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)$ of the Casimir element γ under the canonical surjective map $\Gamma\big(C\times C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} \Gamma\big(C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\otimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)$ and $\upsilon\in\Gamma\big(C\times C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)$ such that for any point $(x_1,x_2)\in(C\times C)\setminus\Delta$ we have:*

$$\rho(x_1,x_2) = \frac{\nu(x_2)}{x_1-x_2}\widetilde{\gamma} + \upsilon(x_1,x_2). \tag{19}$$

Proof. First observe that the following diagram of vector spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma\big(C\times C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big) & \xrightarrow{\ \delta^*\ } & \Gamma\big(C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\otimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \\ \Gamma(C,\mathcal{A}^\circ)\otimes_\Bbbk\Gamma(C,\mathcal{A}^\circ) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(C,\mathcal{A}^\circ)\otimes_O\Gamma(C,\mathcal{A}^\circ) \end{array}$$

is commutative (here, all maps are the canonical ones). Since the lower horizontal map is obviously surjective, the map δ^* is surjective, too. Let $\widetilde{\gamma}$ be any preimage of γ under δ^*.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & \Gamma\big(X\times C, \mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ(\Delta)\big) & & & & \\ & & & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{restr}} & \searrow^{\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Delta} & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma\big(C\times C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma\big(C\times C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ(\Delta)\big) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathsf{res}}^\omega_\Delta} & \Gamma\big(C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\otimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the lower sequence is induced by the residue sequence on $C\times C$ and restr is the restriction map. By Corollary 3.7, the linear map $\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Delta$ is an isomorphism. Let

$$\varrho := \big(\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Delta\big)^{-1}(\gamma) \in \Gamma\big(X\times C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ(\Delta)\big), \tag{20}$$

then by the definition we have: $\rho = \mathsf{restr}(\varrho)$. On the other hand, consider the section $\widetilde{\rho}\in \Gamma\big(C\times C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ(\Delta)\big)$ given for any $x_1\neq x_2\in C$ by the expression $\widetilde{\rho}(x_1,x_2) = \dfrac{\tau(x_2)}{x_1-x_2}\widetilde{\gamma}$. If $\upsilon := \rho - \widetilde{\rho}$ then $\underline{\mathsf{res}}^\omega_\Delta(\upsilon) = \gamma-\gamma = 0$. This implies that $\upsilon\in\Gamma\big(C\times C, \mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)$. □

Remark 3.10. The element $\widetilde{\gamma}\in\Gamma\big(C,\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Gamma\big(C,\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)\cong\Gamma\big(C\times C,\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)$ in the presentation (19) is unique only up to transformations of the form $\widetilde{\gamma}\mapsto\widetilde{\gamma}+\eta$, where $\eta\in\Gamma\big(C\times C,\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)$ is any section such that $\eta\big|_\Delta = 0$.

Theorem 3.11. *The section ρ satisfies the generalized classical Yang–Baxter equation:*

$$[\![\rho,\rho]\!] := \big[\rho^{12},\rho^{13}\big] + \big[\rho^{12},\rho^{23}\big] + \big[\rho^{32},\rho^{13}\big] = 0 \tag{21}$$

where both sides are viewed as meromorphic sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ$ on $C\times C\times C$.

Proof. We first explain the main steps of the proof.

- Let $\varrho\in\Gamma\big(X\times C,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)$ be the section given by (20). We shall prove that

$$[\![\varrho,\varrho]\!] := \big[\varrho^{12},\varrho^{13}\big] + \big[\varrho^{12},\varrho^{23}\big] + \big[\varrho^{32},\varrho^{13}\big] = 0, \tag{22}$$

  where both sides are viewed as meromorphic sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ$ on $X\times C\times C$. The equality (21) is obtained by restricting (22) on $C\times C\times C$.
- Let $\Sigma_{12},\Sigma_{13},\Sigma_{23}\subset X\times C\times C$ be the divisors given by the rules

$$\Sigma_{12} := \big\{(t_1,t_1,t_2)\big|(t_1,t_2)\in C\times C\big\}$$

  etc. We put $\Sigma = \Sigma_{12}+\Sigma_{13}+\Sigma_{23} = \Sigma_{12}\cup\Sigma_{13}\cup\Sigma_{23}$ (viewing Σ as a divisor respectively as a subvariety of $X\times C\times C$). Then we have:

$$[\![\varrho,\varrho]\!]\in\Gamma\big(X\times C\times C,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ(\Sigma)\big).$$

  In other words, $[\![\varrho,\varrho]\!]$ is a rational section of $\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ$ having at most simple poles along the divisors Σ_{12},Σ_{13} and Σ_{23}.
- Computing the residues, we show that the section $[\![\varrho,\varrho]\!]$ has *no poles* meaning that

$$[\![\varrho,\varrho]\!]\in\mathsf{Im}\Big(\Gamma\big(X\times C\times C,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)\longrightarrow\Gamma\big(X\times C\times C,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ(\Sigma)\big)\Big).$$

- By Künneth formula, $\Gamma\big(X\times C\times C,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)=0$, implying (21).

Now we proceed with the details. To simplify the notation, we shall write: $T := C \times C$; let $T \xrightarrow{\pi} C$ be the canonical projection on the first component and $Y = X \times T$. Next, consider the map $T \xrightarrow{\sigma} Y$ given by the rule: $(t_1, t_2) \mapsto (t_1, t_1, t_2)$; let $\Theta := \mathsf{Im}(\sigma) = \Sigma_{12}$. Finally, put $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^\circ \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^\circ$.

Consider the following short exact sequence of coherent sheaves on Y

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(\Theta) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}_\Theta} \sigma_*\big(Hom_T(\pi^*\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_T)\big) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is an analogue of the residue sequence (9). The morphism res_Θ is given by the following rule: if $f = f(x, z_1, z_2) = \dfrac{g(x, z_1, z_2)}{x - z_1}$ is a local section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma)$ and $\alpha = \psi(z_1, z_2)dz_1$ is a local section of $\pi^*\Omega_C$ (in some local coordinates) then

$$\big(\mathsf{res}_\Sigma(f)\big)(\alpha) = \big(T \ni (t_1, t_2) \mapsto \psi(t_1, t_2)g(t_1, t_1, t_2)\big).$$

The nowhere vanishing differential form $\omega = \dfrac{1}{\nu(z)}dz \in \Gamma\big(C, \Omega_C\big)$ yields a trivialization $\mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\omega} \Omega_C$. We get an induced short exact sequence of coherent sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(\Theta) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Theta} \sigma_*\big(\mathcal{O}_T\big) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{23}$$

where $\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Theta(f) = \Big(T \ni (t_1, t_2) \mapsto \dfrac{g(t_1, t_1, t_2)}{\tau(t_1)}\Big)$ for a local function f as above. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.6, we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\Sigma - \Theta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Theta} \mathcal{B}(\Sigma - \Theta)\big|_\Theta \longrightarrow 0,$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma - \Theta)\big|_\Theta \cong \overline{\mathcal{B}}(2\Delta)$, where $\overline{\mathcal{B}} = (\mathcal{A}^\circ \otimes \mathcal{A}^\circ) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^\circ$ and $\Delta \subset T$ is the diagonal. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \Gamma\big(T, \overline{\mathcal{B}}(2\Delta)\big) & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma\big(T \setminus \Delta, \overline{\mathcal{B}}\big) \xrightarrow{\cong} \big(A \otimes_O A \otimes_{\Bbbk} A\big) \otimes_{\Bbbk} S \\
 & \overset{\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Theta}{\nearrow} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \underline{\mathsf{res}}^\omega_\Theta} & & \\
\Gamma\big(X \times T, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma)\big) & & & & \\
 & \underset{\mathsf{restr}}{\searrow} & & & \\
 & & \Gamma\big(C \times T, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma)\big) & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma\big(C \times T \setminus \Sigma, \mathcal{B}\big) \xrightarrow{\cong} \big(A \otimes_{\Bbbk} A \otimes_{\Bbbk} A\big) \otimes_{\Bbbk} R,
\end{array}$$

where $R := \Gamma\big(C \times C \times C \setminus \Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{C\times C\times C}\big)$ and $S := \Gamma\big(C \times C \setminus \Delta, \mathcal{O}_{C\times C}\big)$. Our goal is to show that $\mathsf{res}^\omega_\Theta\big([\![\varrho, \varrho]\!]\big) = 0$. It is equivalent to the statement that $\underline{\mathsf{res}}^\omega_\Theta\big([\![\rho, \rho]\!]\big) = 0$.

Recall that $\rho \in \Gamma\big(C \times C \setminus \Delta, \mathcal{A}^\circ \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^\circ\big)$ admits a presentation (19). Then we get: $[\![\rho, \rho]\!] =$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\frac{\nu(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2}\widetilde{\gamma}^{12} + \upsilon^{12}(x_1, x_2), \frac{\nu(x_3)}{x_1 - x_3}\widetilde{\gamma}^{13} + \upsilon^{13}(x_1, x_3)\right] + \\
&\left[\frac{\nu(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2}\widetilde{\gamma}^{12} + \upsilon^{12}(x_1, x_2), \frac{\nu(x_3)}{x_2 - x_3}\widetilde{\gamma}^{23} + \upsilon^{23}(x_2, x_3)\right] + \\
&\left[\frac{\nu(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2}\widetilde{\gamma}^{32} + \upsilon^{32}(x_3, x_2), \frac{\nu(x_3)}{x_1 - x_3}\widetilde{\gamma}^{13} + \upsilon^{13}(x_1, x_3)\right],
\end{aligned}$$

where the entire expression is viewed as an element of $\big(A\otimes_{\Bbbk} A\otimes_{\Bbbk} A\big)\otimes_{\Bbbk} R$. Then $\underline{\mathsf{res}}^{\omega}_{\Theta}\big([\![\rho,\rho]\!]\big)$ viewed as an element of $\big((A\otimes_O A)\otimes_{\Bbbk} A\big)\otimes_{\Bbbk} R$, is given by the formula:

$$\underline{\mathsf{res}}^{\omega}_{\Theta}\big([\![\rho,\rho]\!]\big) = \nu(z_2)\Big[\gamma^{12},\overline{\gamma}^{13}+\overline{\gamma}^{23}\Big] + \Big[\gamma^{12},\overline{v}^{13}(z_1,z_2)+\overline{v}^{23}(z_1,z_2)\Big].$$

Here we apply the canonical morphism $A\otimes_{\Bbbk} A\otimes_{\Bbbk} A\longrightarrow (A\otimes_O A)\otimes_{\Bbbk} A$ to define all the terms. Now, the equality (15) implies that both summands of the above expression for $\underline{\mathsf{res}}^{\omega}_{\Theta}\big([\![\rho,\rho]\!]\big)$ are actually zero.

In a similar way, one can prove that the section $[\![\varrho,\varrho]\!]$ has no poles along the other two divisors Σ_{13} and Σ_{23}, which finishes the proof of the identity (21). □

Remark 3.12. The idea to construct solutions of CYBE from an algebro–geometric data was suggested for the first time by Cherednik [22], who stated a version of Theorem 3.11 in the case of smooth curves and briefly outlined an idea of the proof.

Definition 3.13. Let $x\neq y\in C$. Then we have two canonical maps of vector spaces

- the *residue isomorphism* $\Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(x)\big)\xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}^{\omega}_x}\mathcal{A}\big|_x$
- the *evaluation map* $\Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(x)\big)\xrightarrow{\mathsf{ev}_y}\mathcal{A}\big|_y$

defined as follows. Tensoring (13) with $\mathcal{A}$, we get a short exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}(x)\xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}^{\omega}_x}\mathcal{A}\big|_x\longrightarrow 0. \tag{24}$$

Since $H^0(X,\mathcal{A})=0=H^1(X,\mathcal{A})$, applying to (24) the functor of global sections $\Gamma\big(X,-\big)$, we get the residue isomorphism res^{ω}_x (we use the same notation for a morphism of sheaves and the map of global sections). The map ev_y is defined via the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(x)\big) & \\ {\scriptstyle \mathsf{ev}_y}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{ev}'_y} \\ \mathcal{A}\big|_y & \xhookrightarrow{\ \cong\ } & \mathcal{A}(x)\big|_y \end{array}$$

where ev'_y assigns to a global section of $\mathcal{A}(x)$ its value in the fiber over y.

Lemma 3.14. *Let $\big((X,\mathcal{A}),(C,\omega)\big)$ be a geometric datum as in the beginning of this subsection. For any $x_1\neq x_2\in C$, consider the linear map $\mathcal{A}\big|_{x_2}\xrightarrow{\rho^\sharp(x_1,x_2)}\mathcal{A}\big|_{x_1}$ making the following diagram of vector spaces*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(x_2)\big) & \\ {\scriptstyle \mathsf{res}^{\omega}_{x_2}}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{ev}_{x_1}} \\ \mathcal{A}\big|_{x_2} & \xrightarrow{\ \rho^\sharp(x_1,x_2)\ } & \mathcal{A}\big|_{x_1} \end{array} \tag{25}$$

commutative. Then the following results are true.

- *The tensor $\rho(x_1,x_2)\in\mathcal{A}\big|_{x_1}\otimes\mathcal{A}\big|_{x_2}$ (which is the value of the geometric r–matrix ρ at the point (x_1,x_2)) is the image of the linear map $\rho^\sharp(x_1,x_2)$ under the isomorphism $\mathsf{Hom}_{\Bbbk}\big(\mathcal{A}\big|_{x_2},\mathcal{A}\big|_{x_1}\big)\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}\big|_{x_1}\otimes\mathcal{A}\big|_{x_2}$ induced by the Killing form on $\mathcal{A}\big|_{x_2}$.*

- *There exists a non–empty open subset $C^\circ \subset C$ such that for all $x_1 \neq x_2 \in C^\circ$, the linear map $\rho^\sharp(x_1, x_2)$ is an isomorphism. In particular, the geometric r–matrix ρ given by (21) leads to* non–degenerate *solution of the generalized classical Yang–Baxter equation (1).*

Proof. The first result is a consequence of the functoriality of the residue sequence (12); see Lemma 3.2. To prove the second statement, let $x_1 \neq x_2 \in C$ be arbitrary points. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(x_2 - x_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(x_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}\big|_{x_1} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{26}$$

as well as the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{C} := p^*\big(\mathcal{A}(-x_1)\big) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X\times C}(\Delta)$ on $X \times C$, where $X \times C \xrightarrow{p} X$ is the canonical projection. Obviously, $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over C and $\mathcal{B}\big|_{X\times\{x\}} \cong \mathcal{A}\big(x - x_1\big)$ for all $x \in C$. Therefore, $\chi\Big(\mathcal{B}\big|_{X\times\{x\}}\Big) = \chi\Big(\mathcal{B}\big|_{X\times\{x_1\}}\Big) = \chi(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ for all $x \in C$. Since $\Gamma\big(X, \mathcal{B}\big|_{X\times\{x_1\}}\big) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}) = 0$, by semi–continuity there exists an open subset $C^\circ \subseteq C$ containing x_1 such that $\Gamma\big(X, \mathcal{B}\big|_{X\times\{x\}}\big) = 0$ for all $x \in C^\circ$. Applying to (26) the functor of global sections $\Gamma(X, -)$, we conclude that the linear map ev_{x_1} is an isomorphism, which implies the statement. □

Remark 3.15. Assume that a geometric datum $\big((X, \mathcal{A}), (C, \omega)\big)$ is such that there exists an O–linear isomorphism of Lie algebras $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} O$. This trivialization ξ allows to present the geometric r–matrix ρ as a meromorphic function $r = \rho^\xi : C \times C \setminus \Delta \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, which is a non–degenerate solution of the generalized classical Yang–Baxter equation (1). This tensor–valued function can be written in the form:

$$r(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\nu(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2}\widetilde{\gamma} + \upsilon(x_1, x_2),$$

where $C \times C \xrightarrow{\upsilon} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is a regular function.

- Let us take any other O–linear isomorphism of Lie algebras $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{A}^\circ) \xrightarrow{\zeta} \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} O$. Then we get an automorphism ϕ of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} O$ defined via the following commutative diagram of Lie algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Gamma(C, \mathcal{A}^\circ) & \\ {\scriptstyle \xi}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle \zeta} \\ \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} O & \xrightarrow{\quad\phi\quad} & \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} O \end{array}$$

  This automorphism ϕ establishes a gauge equivalence between the solutions ρ^ξ and ρ^ζ of (GCYBE).
- If we replace the differential form ω by any multiple $\widetilde{\omega} = \dfrac{1}{\alpha}\omega$, where α is some meromorphic function on C, then the corresponding solution $r(x_1, x_2)$ will be transformed into the equivalent solution $\alpha(x_2)r(x_1, x_2)$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $\big((X, \mathcal{A}), (C, \omega)\big)$ be a geometric datum as at the beginning of this section and Z be the irreducible component of X containing C. Then the* geometric genus *of Z is at most one.*

Proof. We first show the following

Claim. Assume that we have a smooth connected projective curve Y and a coherent sheaf of Lie algebras $\mathcal{B}$ on Y such that

- $\mathcal{B}$ is locally free and $H^1(Y,\mathcal{B})=0$;
- there exists a non–empty open subset $Y^\circ\subset Y$ such that $\mathcal{B}\big|_y$ is a semi–simple Lie algebra for all $y\in Y^\circ$.

Then the genus of Y is at most one.

Proof of the claim. Indeed, since $\mathcal{B}$ is locally free, for any open subset $V\subseteq Y$, we have a well–defined Killing–form $\Gamma(V,\mathcal{B})\times\Gamma(V,\mathcal{B})\xrightarrow{\kappa}\Gamma(V,\mathcal{O}_Y)$. It defines a morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{B}\xrightarrow{\bar\kappa}\mathcal{B}^\vee$, which is moreover an isomorphism when restricted to Y°. Since $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^\vee$ have the same rank, we conclude that the morphism $\bar\kappa$ is a monomorphism, whose cokernel is a torsion sheaf. From $H^1(Y,\mathcal{B})=0$ it follows that $H^1(Y,\mathcal{B}^\vee)=0$, too. By the Riemann–Roch formula, we get two inequalities:

$$0\leq\chi(\mathcal{B})=\mathsf{deg}(\mathcal{B})+(1-g)\mathsf{rk}(\mathcal{B})\quad\text{and}\quad 0\leq\chi(\mathcal{B}^\vee)=-\mathsf{deg}(\mathcal{B})+(1-g)\mathsf{rk}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Adding them, we conclude that $g\leq 1$, as claimed.

Now we are prepared to prove the statement of the lemma. Consider the torsion free sheaf of Lie algebras $\mathcal{C}:=\mathcal{A}\big|_Z$ on the integral projective curve Z. From the vanishing $H^1(X,\mathcal{A})=0$ one can deduce that $H^1(Z,\mathcal{C})=0$, too. Let $Y\xrightarrow{\pi}Z$ be the normalization of Z and $\mathcal{B}:=\pi^*\mathcal{C}/\mathsf{tor}\big(\pi^*\mathcal{C}\big)$. Then the pair $(Y,\mathcal{B})$ satisfies the assumptions of the claim we started with, finishing the proof of the lemma. □

4. On the skew–symmetry of the geometric r–matrix

In Section 3, we introduced a certain geometric datum $\big((X,\mathcal{A}),(C,\omega)\big)$ and then assigned to it a section $\rho\in\Gamma\big(C\times C\setminus\Delta,\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big)$.

Definition 4.1. The geometric r–matrix ρ is called *skew–symmetric*, if

$$\sigma(\rho)=-\imath^*(\rho)\in\Gamma\big(C\times C\setminus\Delta,\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ\big),$$

where $\imath$ is the automorphism of the surface $C\times C$ permuting both components and σ is an automorphism of the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{A}^\circ\boxtimes\mathcal{A}^\circ$ permuting both factors on the level of appropriate local sections.

Note that after passing to a local trivialization of $\mathcal{A}$, we obtain the conventional notion of skew–symmetry given by (6). The goal of this section is to answer the following natural

Question. What are additional assumptions on the geometric datum $\big((X,\mathcal{A}),(C,\omega)\big)$, which insure that the corresponding geometric r–matrix ρ is skew–symmetric?

4.1. **Criterion of skew–symmetricity.** Let $x\neq y\in C$ and $U=C\setminus\{x,y\}$. Consider the following $\Bbbk$–bilinear pairing

$$\varpi:\ \Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(x)\big)\times\Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(y)\big)\xrightarrow{\mathsf{can}}\Gamma(U,\mathcal{A})\times\Gamma(U,\mathcal{A})\xrightarrow{\kappa}\Gamma(U,\mathcal{O}_C)$$

Proposition 4.2. *We have:* $\rho^{12}(x,y)=-\rho^{21}(y,x)$ *if and only if*

$$\mathsf{res}_x\big(\varpi(a,b)\omega\big)+\mathsf{res}_y\big(\varpi(a,b)\omega\big)=0\text{ for all }(a,b)\in\Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(x)\big)\times\Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(y)\big).\tag{27}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.14 that the equality $\rho^{12}(x,y)+\rho^{21}(y,x)=0$ can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\kappa_y\big(\big(\rho^\sharp(x,y)\big)(\alpha),\beta\big)+\kappa_x\big(\alpha,\big(\rho^\sharp(y,x)\big)(\beta)\big)=0 \text{ for all } (\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{A}\big|_x\times\mathcal{A}\big|_y, \tag{28}$$

where κ_x (respectively, κ_y) is the Killing forms of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}\big|_x$ (respectively, $\mathcal{A}\big|_y$). Given $(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathcal{A}\big|_x\times\mathcal{A}\big|_y$, let $(a,b)\in\Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(x)\big)\times\Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(y)\big)$ be the element, uniquely determined by the properties $\alpha=\mathsf{res}^\omega_x(a)$ and $\beta=\mathsf{res}^\omega_y(b)$. Taking into account that the Killing form κ_y is symmetric, we can rewrite (28) as follows:

$$\kappa_x\big(\mathsf{res}^\omega_x(a),\mathsf{ev}_x(b)\big)+\kappa_y\big(\mathsf{res}^\omega_y(b),\mathsf{ev}_y(b)\big)=0 \text{ for all } (a,b)\in\Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(x)\big)\times\Gamma\big(X,\mathcal{A}(y)\big). \tag{29}$$

Let $U\xrightarrow{\jmath}X$ be the canonical embedding. Then the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}(x)\otimes\mathcal{A}(y) & \longrightarrow & \jmath_*\big(\mathcal{A}\big|_U\big)\otimes\jmath_*\big(\mathcal{A}\big|_U\big)\\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{res}^\omega_x\otimes\mathsf{ev}_x} & & \downarrow\\
\mathcal{A}\big|_x\otimes\mathcal{A}\big|_x & & \jmath_*\big(\mathcal{A}\big|_U\otimes\mathcal{A}\big|_U\big)\\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{\kappa}_x} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{\kappa}_U}\\
\mathbb{C}_x & \xleftarrow{\quad\mathsf{res}^\omega_x\quad} & \jmath_*\big(\mathcal{O}_U\big)
\end{array}$$

of quasi–coherent sheaves on X is commutative. From this diagram we conclude that $\kappa_x\big(\mathsf{res}^\omega_x(a),\mathsf{ev}_x(b)\big)=\mathsf{res}_x\big(\varpi(a,b)\omega\big)$, implying the statement. □

4.2. **Geometric r–matrices arising from Calabi–Yau curves.** Belavin–Drinfeld trichotomy result [6, Theorem 1.1] on the classification of non–degenerate skew–symmetric solutions of (7) suggests to restrict our attention on those geometric data $\big((X,\mathcal{A}),(C,\omega)\big)$, for which X is a Calabi–Yau curve and C is a smooth part of an irreducible component of X. This case is "deterministic" since there exists a unique (up to a scalar) natural choice of the differential form ω: a generator of the vector space $\Gamma(X,\Omega_X)\cong\Bbbk$.

Let $E=E_{g_2,g_3}:=\overline{V\big(u^2-4v^3+g_2v+g_3\big)}\subset\mathbb{P}^2$ be a Weierstraß cubic curve, where $g_2,g_3\in\Bbbk$. Weierstraß cubics are precisely the integral Calabi–Yau curves. The curve E_{g_2,g_3} is singular if and only if $g_2^3=27g_3^2$. In this case, E has a unique singular point $s=(\lambda,0)=(\lambda:0:1)$ with

$$\lambda=\begin{cases}\dfrac{3g_3}{2g_2} & s \text{ is a node,}\\ 0 & s \text{ is a cusp.}\end{cases}$$

We may put:

$$\omega:=\frac{du}{12v^2-g_2}=\frac{dv}{2u}. \tag{30}$$

In the case E is smooth, the differential form ω is nowhere vanishing. If E is singular, ω is a regular Rosenlicht one–form [3, Section II.6]. Let $\mathbb{P}^1\xrightarrow{\pi}E$ be the normalization map. Denote by $\Omega^m_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ and Ω^m_E the sheaves of meromorphic differential 1–forms on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and

E respectively; note that $\Omega_E^m = \pi_*\big(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m\big)$. Then the canonical sheaf of E can be realized as the subsheaf Ω_E of Ω_E^m such that for any open subset $U \subseteq E$ one has

$$\Omega_E(U) = \left\{ \alpha \in \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m(V) \,\middle|\, \text{for all } p \in U \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{O}_E(U) : \sum_{q:\, \pi(q)=p} \mathsf{res}_q\big((f\circ\pi)\alpha\big) = 0 \right\},$$

where $V = \pi^{-1}(U) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$. Then the differential form ω, given by (30), generates $\Gamma(E, \Omega_E)$. Alternatively, we can choose homogeneous coordinates $(z_0 : z_1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that

$$\pi^{-1}(s) = \begin{cases} \{0, \infty\} & E \text{ is nodal,} \\ \{\infty\} & E \text{ is cuspidal,} \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

where $0 = (1:0)$ and $\infty = (0:1)$. Then $z = \dfrac{z_1}{z_0}$ is a local coordinate in a neighbourhood of 0 and we can define a generator of $\Gamma(E, \Omega_E)$ by the formula:

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \dfrac{dz}{z} & E \text{ is nodal,} \\ dz & E \text{ is cuspidal.} \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let E be a Weierstraß cubic, ω a generator of $\Gamma(E, \Omega_E)$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be a coherent sheaf of Lie algebras on E satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *$H^0(E, \mathcal{A}) = 0 = H^1(E, \mathcal{A})$.*
2. *$\mathcal{A}$ is weakly $\mathfrak{g}$–locally free over $\breve{E}$.*

If E is singular, we impose a third condition on the germ A_s of the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ at the singular point s. Consider the $\mathbb{C}$–bilinear pairing

$$\kappa^\omega : \quad A_K \times A_K \xrightarrow{\kappa} K \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}_s^\omega} \mathbb{C}, \tag{33}$$

where κ is the Killing form of A_K and

$$\mathsf{res}_s^\omega(f) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{P}^1 : \pi(q) = s} \mathsf{res}_q(f\omega)$$

for $f \in K$. We require that

3. *A_s is a coisotropic Lie subalgebra of A_K with respect to the pairing κ^ω, i.e.*

$$\kappa^\omega(f, g) = 0 \text{ for all } f, g \in A_s.$$

Then the geometric r–matrix $\rho \in \Gamma\big(\breve{E} \times \breve{E} \setminus \Delta, \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}\big)$, corresponding to the datum $\big((E, \mathcal{A}), (\breve{E}, \omega)\big)$, is a skew–symmetric solution of the classical Yang–Baxter equation

$$\big[\rho^{12}, \rho^{13}\big] + \big[\rho^{12}, \rho^{23}\big] + \big[\rho^{13}, \rho^{23}\big] = 0.$$

Remark 4.4. Note that the condition (3) is automatically satisfied provided the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is locally free.

Proof. According to Theorem 3.11, the section ρ satisfies GCYBE. Hence, it is sufficient to prove skew–symmetry of ρ. Let $x \neq y \in \breve{E}$ and $U := E \setminus \{x, y\}$. Consider the following canonical map

$$\varpi : \Gamma\big(E, \mathcal{A}(x)\big) \times \Gamma\big(E, \mathcal{A}(y)\big) \longrightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) \times \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow A_K \times A_K \xrightarrow{\kappa} K.$$

Then for any $(f,g) \in \Gamma\big(E, \mathcal{A}(x)\big) \times \Gamma\big(E, \mathcal{A}(y)\big)$ we have: $\varpi(f,g) \in K \cap \Gamma\big(\breve{E} \setminus \{x,y\}, \mathcal{O}_E\big)$. By the residue theorem, we have the identity:

$$0 = \sum_{q \in E} \mathsf{res}_q\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big) = \mathsf{res}_s\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big) + \mathsf{res}_x\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big) + \mathsf{res}_y\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big),$$

since $\mathsf{res}_q\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big) = 0$ for $q \notin \big\{s,x,y\big\}$. However, $\mathsf{res}_s\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big) = 0$ since $A_s \subset A_K$ is coisotropic. As a consequence:

$$\mathsf{res}_x\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big) + \mathsf{res}_y\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big) = 0 \text{ for all } (f,g) \in \Gamma\big(E, \mathcal{A}(x)\big) \times \Gamma\big(E, \mathcal{A}(y)\big),$$

what is equivalent to the skew–symmetry $\rho^{12}(x,y) = -\rho^{21}(y,x)$ due to Proposition 4.2. □

Remark 4.5. Let E be a singular Weierstraß curve, $\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\nu} E$ its normalization, $q \in \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\nu(q) = s$ and $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal sheaf of q. Consider the sheaf of Lie algebras

$$\mathcal{A} := \nu_* \left(sl \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} & \dots & \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} & \dots & \mathcal{I} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} & \dots & \mathcal{I} \end{array} \right) \right).$$

Obviously, we have: $H^0(E,\mathcal{A}) = 0 = H^1(E,\mathcal{A})$ and $\Gamma(\breve{E},\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Gamma\big(\breve{E}, \mathcal{O}_E\big)$. Moreover,

- If E is cuspidal, then A_s is a coisotropic Lie subalgebra of A_K and the corresponding solution of (7) is the solution of Yang: $r(x,y) = \dfrac{1}{y-x}\gamma$.
- If E is nodal then A_s is not coisotropic in A_K. The corresponding geometric r–matrix is $r(x,y) = \dfrac{x}{y-x}\gamma$. It satisfies the generalized classical Yang–Baxter equation (1) but does not satisfy (7).

Theorem 4.6. *Let X be a Calabi–Yau curve and $\mathcal{A}$ a coherent sheaf of Lie algebras on X such that*

(1) $H^0(X,\mathcal{A}) = 0 = H^1(X,\mathcal{A})$.
(2) *The sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly $\mathfrak{g}$–locally free on X.*

Let ω be a generator of $\Gamma(X,\Omega_X)$ and $C = Z \cap \breve{X}$, where Z is an irreducible component of X and $\breve{X}$ is the regular part of X. The the geometric r–matrix $\rho \in \Gamma\big(C \times C \setminus \Delta, \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}\big)$, attached to the datum $\big((X,\mathcal{A}),(C,\omega)\big)$, is a skew–symmetric solution of CYBE.

Proof. Here we basically give a replica of the proof of Theorem 4.3. We know that ρ satisfies GCYBE, thus it suffices to prove the skew–symmetry of ρ. Let $x \neq y \in C$ and $U := X \setminus \{x,y\}$. Consider the following canonical map

$$\varpi : \Gamma\big(X, \mathcal{A}(x)\big) \times \Gamma\big(X, \mathcal{A}(y)\big) \longrightarrow \Gamma(U,\mathcal{A}) \times \Gamma(U,\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow A_K \times A_K \xrightarrow{\kappa} K.$$

Then for any $(f,g) \in \Gamma\big(X, \mathcal{A}(x)\big) \times \Gamma\big(X, \mathcal{A}(y)\big)$ we have: $\varpi(f,g) \in \Gamma\big(X \setminus \{x,y\}, \mathcal{O}_X\big) \subset K$. The residue theorem implies that

$$0 = \sum_{q \in X} \mathsf{res}_q\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big) = \mathsf{res}_x\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big) + \mathsf{res}_y\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big),$$

since $\mathsf{res}_q\big(\varpi(f,g)\omega\big) = 0$ for any smooth point $q \notin \big\{x, y\big\}$ as well as for any singular point of X (at this place, the assumption that the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is locally free, plays a crucial role). By Proposition 4.2 we get: $\rho^{12}(x,y) = -\rho^{21}(y,x)$. □

Remark 4.7. Let X be a Calabi–Yau curve and $\mathcal{F}$ a simple locally free sheaf on X (i.e. $\mathsf{End}_X(\mathcal{F}) = \Bbbk$) of rank $n \geq 2$ and multi–degree $\mathbb{d}$. Consider the sheaf $\mathcal{A} := Ad_X(\mathcal{F})$ of traceless endomorphisms of $\mathcal{F}$, defined through the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow End_X(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{tr}} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0, \tag{34}$$

where tr is the trace map. Clearly, $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$–locally free and $H^0(X,\mathcal{A}) = 0 = H^1(X,\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, at least for elliptic curves and Kodaira fibers of types I_m $(m \geq 1)$, II, III and IV it is known that the isomorphy class of $\mathcal{A}$ is determined by *purely discrete* data: the rank n and the multi–degree of $\mathbb{d}$ of $\mathcal{F}$; see [16, Proposition 2.19] and references therein.

4.3. **Minimal model of a geometric datum.** The setting of Theorem 4.6 seems to be more general than in the case of Theorem 4.3, because of the occurrence of non–integral Calabi–Yau curves. However, the contrary happens to be true.

Theorem 4.8. *Let X be a singular Calabi–Yau curve and Y an irreducible component of X. Then the following results are true.*

- *There exists a Weierstraß curve E and a morphism $X \xrightarrow{\mu} E$ (called* minimal model *of X relative to Y) collapsing all irreducible components of X different to Y to the singular point of E and mapping Y surjectively on E.*



- *If ω is a generator of $\Gamma(X,\Omega_X)$, then $\mu_*\big(\omega\big|_Y\big)$ is a generator of $\Gamma(E,\Omega_E)$.*
- *The canonical adjunction morphism $\mathcal{O}_E \xrightarrow{\zeta} R\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big)$ in the derived category $D^b\big(\mathsf{Coh}(E)\big)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The list of Calabi–Yau curves is actually known, see e.g. [50, Section 3]. For the proof of the first statement, see [44, Proposition 3.8]. The second result is a straightforward computation.

To prove the third statement, consider the right adjoint functor $D^b\big(\mathsf{Coh}(E)\big) \xrightarrow{\mu^!} D^b\big(\mathsf{Coh}(X)\big)$ to the functor $R\mu_*$, which exists according to the Grothendieck duality [30, 37]. We have another canonical adjunction morphism $R\mu_*\mu^!\big(\mathcal{O}_E\big) \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathcal{O}_E$, which is compatible with restrictions on open sets in E. Since both curves E and X are Calabi–Yau, and $\mu^!(\Omega_E) \cong \Omega_X$, we get a morphism of sheaves $\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big) \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} \mathcal{O}_E$, which is an isomorphism when restricted to the open set $\breve{E}$. On the other hand, we have another canonical morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_E \xrightarrow{\bar{\zeta}} \mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big)$. It is clear that $\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big)$ is a sheaf of Cohen–Macaulay rings, finite as a module over $\mathcal{O}_E$. Therefore, $\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big)$ is torsion free viewed a $\mathcal{O}_E$–module, thus $\bar{\xi}$ is a monomorphism. Since $\Gamma\big(E, \mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big)\big) \cong \Bbbk$, we conclude that $\bar{\xi}$ is an isomorphism. But it implies that $\bar{\zeta}$ is an isomorphism, too.

Since the fiber of the morphism μ over the point s has dimension one, the cohomology sheaves $R^i\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big)$ are automatically zero unless $i = 0$ or 1. We have proved that $R^0\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big) \cong \mathcal{O}_E$. The sheaf $\mathcal{T} := R^1\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big)$ is automatically torsion. Assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is non–zero. Then its support must be the singular point s. We have an exact triangle

$$\mathcal{O}_E \xrightarrow{\zeta} R\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[-1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E[1]$$

in the derived category $D^b\big(\mathsf{Coh}(E)\big)$. Applying the derived functor of global sections $R\Gamma\big(E, -\big)$, we get an exact triangle

$$R\Gamma\big(E, \mathcal{O}_E\big) \xrightarrow{R\Gamma(\zeta)} R\Gamma\big(E, R\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big)\big) \longrightarrow R\Gamma(E, \mathcal{T})[-1] \longrightarrow R\Gamma\big(E, \mathcal{O}_E\big)[1]$$

in the derived category $D^b\big(\mathsf{Vect}(\Bbbk)\big)$ of vector spaces over $\Bbbk$. We have a canonical isomorphism of complexes $R\Gamma\Big(E, R\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big)\Big) \cong R\Gamma\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X\big)$ and $H^i\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X\big) \cong \Bbbk \cong H^i\big(E, \mathcal{O}_E\big)$ for $i = 0, 1$. It implies that the class of the complex $R\Gamma(E, \mathcal{T})$ in the Grothendieck group of $D^b\big(\mathsf{Vect}(\Bbbk)\big)$ is zero. On the other hand, $R^i\Gamma(E, \mathcal{T}) = H^i(E, \mathcal{T}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, whereas $\Gamma(E, \mathcal{T}) \neq 0$, contradiction. Hence, the morphism ζ is an isomorphism, as claimed. □

Proposition 4.9. *Let $X \xrightarrow{\mu} E$ be the minimal model of a Calabi–Yau curve X (with respect to some irreducible component). Then the following results are true.*

- *The functor $\mathsf{Perf}(E) \xrightarrow{L\mu^*} D^b\big(\mathsf{Coh}(X)\big)$ is fully faithful.*
- *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on X such that $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0 = H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$. Then $R^1\mu_*(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{G}) = 0 = H^1(X, \mathcal{G})$ for $\mathcal{G} := \mu_*(\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. By the projection formula, for any complex $\mathcal{H}^\bullet$ from $\mathsf{Perf}(E)$ we have:

$$R\mu_*\big(L\mu^*(\mathcal{H}^\bullet)\big) \cong \mathcal{H}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} R\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big) \cong \mathcal{H}^\bullet,$$

which implies the first statement. To prove the second result, let $\mathcal{T} := R^1\mu_*(\mathcal{F})$. Then $\mathcal{T}$ is a torsion sheaf and we have an exact triangle

$$\mathcal{T}[-2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}[0] \xrightarrow{\imath} R\mu_*(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}[-1], \tag{35}$$

where the morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}^0(\imath)} R^0\mu_*(\mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism. Because of the vanishing $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0 = H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$ we have: $R\Gamma\big(E, R\mu_*(\mathcal{F})\big) \cong 0$ in $D^b\big(\mathsf{Vect}(\Bbbk)\big)$. Applying the functor $R\Gamma(E, -)$ to the exact triangle (35), we conclude that $H^1(E, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ and $H^0(E, \mathcal{T}) = 0$. The last vanishing implies that $\mathcal{T} \cong 0$. □

Theorem 4.10. *Let X be a Calabi–Yau curve, Y an irreducible component of X and $C = Y \cap \breve{X}$, where $\breve{X}$ is the regular part of X. Let $X \xrightarrow{\mu} E$ be the minimal model of X relative to Y and $\widetilde{\omega}$ the restriction of a generator of $\Gamma(X, \Omega_X)$ on C. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a sheaf of Lie algebras on X such that*

1. *$H^0(X, \mathcal{B}) = 0 = H^1(X, \mathcal{B})$.*
2. *$\mathcal{B}$ is weakly $\mathfrak{g}$–locally free on X.*

Let $\mathcal{A} := \mu_*(\mathcal{B})$ and $\omega = \mu_*(\widetilde{\omega})$. Then the geometric r–matrices, corresponding to the data $\big((X, \mathcal{B}), (C, \widetilde{\omega})\big)$ and $\big((E, \mathcal{A}), (\breve{E}, \omega)\big)$, lead to the same solution of (7).

Proof. Let $\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{y} \in C$ are such that $H^i\big(X, \mathcal{B}(\tilde{x}-\tilde{y})\big) = 0 = H^i\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\tilde{x}-\tilde{y})\big)$ for $i = 0, 1$; let $x := \mu(\tilde{x})$ and $y := \mu(\tilde{y})$. Then the following diagram of coherent sheaves on E

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_E & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_E(x) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}_x^{\omega}} & \mathbb{C}_x & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big) & \longrightarrow & \mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X(\widetilde{x})\big) & \xrightarrow{\mu_*(\mathsf{res}_{\tilde{x}}^{\widetilde{\omega}})} & \mu_*\big(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{x}}\big) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative, where all vertical maps are the canonical ones (the exactness of the lower sequence follows from the fact that $R^1\mu_*(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$). Analogously, because of the vanishings $R^1\mu_*(\mathcal{B}) = 0 = R^1\mu_*\big(\mathcal{B}(\tilde{x}-\tilde{y})\big)$, we get commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(x) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}_x^{\omega}} & \mathcal{A}\big|_x & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mu_*(\mathcal{B}) & \longrightarrow & \mu_*\big(\mathcal{B}(\tilde{x})\big) & \xrightarrow{\mu_*(\mathsf{res}_{\tilde{x}}^{\widetilde{\omega}})} & \mu_*\big(\mathcal{B}\big|_{\tilde{x}}\big) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{36}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(x-y) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(x) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ev}_y} & \mathcal{A}\big|_y & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mu_*\big(\mathcal{B}(\tilde{x}-\tilde{y})\big) & \longrightarrow & \mu_*\big(\mathcal{B}(\tilde{x})\big) & \xrightarrow{\mu_*(\mathsf{ev}_{\tilde{y}})} & \mu_*\big(\mathcal{B}\big|_{\tilde{y}}\big) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{37}$$

Applying the functor of global sections $\Gamma(E, -)$ to both diagrams (36) and (37), we get a commutative diagram of vector spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A}\big|_x & \xleftarrow{\mathsf{res}_x^{\omega}} & \Gamma\big(E, \mathcal{A}(x)\big) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ev}_y} & \mathcal{A}\big|_y \\ \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong \\ \mathcal{B}\big|_{\tilde{x}} & \xleftarrow{\mathsf{res}_{\tilde{x}}^{\widetilde{\omega}}} & \Gamma\big(X, \mathcal{B}(\tilde{x})\big) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ev}_{\tilde{y}}} & \mathcal{B}\big|_{\tilde{y}} \end{array}$$

where all vertical isomorphisms are the canonical ones. The statement of the theorem follows now from Lemma 3.14. □

Remark 4.11. So far, a Calabi–Yau curve by definition was assumed to be *reduced*. However, this assumption can be weakened. Namely, it would be sufficient to consider a Gorenstein projective curve X with trivial dualizing sheaf, which has at least one reduced component Y (e.g. the plane cubic $PV(uv^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\Bbbk}$). If $\mathcal{F}$ is a simple locally free sheaf on X then the sheaf $\mathcal{B} := Ad_X(\mathcal{F})$ of traceless endomorphisms of $\mathcal{F}$ has vanishing cohomology. Let $X \xrightarrow{\mu} E$ be the minimal model of X relative to Y



and ω be a generator of the vector space $\Gamma\big(E,\Omega_E\big)$. Let $\widetilde{\omega}$ be a meromorphic 1–form on $C=Y\cap\breve{X}$ such that $\omega=\mu_*(\widetilde{\omega})$ and $\mathcal{A}:=\mu_*(\mathcal{B})$. Then $\big((X,\mathcal{B}),(C,\widetilde{\omega})\big)$ is a well–defined geometric datum in the sense of Subsection 3.3. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_E\cong\mu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_X\big)$ and $H^i(E,\mathcal{A})=0$ for $i=0,1$, since the proof of Proposition 4.9 does not use the assumption that X is reduced. By Theorem 4.10, the r–matrices, corresponding to the geometric data $\big((X,\mathcal{B}),(C,\widetilde{\omega})\big)$ and $\big((E,\mathcal{A}),(\breve{E},\omega)\big)$, are equivalent. Moreover, the obtained solution of GCYBE is also skew–symmetric. Indeed, for any open subset $U\subset E$, the following diagram of vector spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Gamma(U,\mathcal{A})\times\Gamma(U,\mathcal{A}) & \hookrightarrow & A_K\times A_K & \xrightarrow{\ \kappa\ } & K \\
\big\downarrow\cong & & & & \big\uparrow \\
 & & & & \Gamma\big(U,\mathcal{O}_E\big) \\
 & & & & \big\downarrow\cong \\
\Gamma\big(\mu^{-1}(U),\mathcal{B}\big)\times\Gamma\big(\mu^{-1}(U),\mathcal{B}\big) & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\kappa\qquad} & & \Gamma\big(\mu^{-1}(U),\mathcal{O}_X\big),
\end{array}$$

is commutative, implying that $\mathsf{Im}\big(\Gamma(U,\mathcal{A})\times\Gamma(U,\mathcal{A})\xrightarrow{\kappa}K\big)=\Gamma(U,\mathcal{O}_E)$. Hence, A_s is a coisotropic Lie subalgebra of A_K with respect to the pairing κ^ω given by (33). By Theorem 4.3, the geometric r–matrix corresponding to $\big((E,\mathcal{A}),(\breve{E},\omega)\big)$ is skew–symmetric. It is an interesting open problem to classify simple locally free sheaves on non–reduced Calabi–Yau curves and compute the corresponding solutions of CYBE.

4.4. **Geometric r–matrices and bialgebra structures.** In this subsection, let E be a Weierstraß cubic, $p\in E$ its "infinite point" and $s\in E$ its singular point provided E is singular. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a coherent sheaf of Lie algebras on E, satisfying all properties from Theorem 4.3. Consider the smooth affine curve

$$E^\circ:=\begin{cases} E\setminus\{p\} & E \text{ is smooth,}\\ E\setminus\{s\} & E \text{ is singular}\end{cases}$$

and put $A:=\Gamma\big(E^\circ,\mathcal{A}\big)$. According to Theorem 4.3, the geometric r–matrix $\rho\in\Gamma\big(E^\circ\times E^\circ\setminus\Delta,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}\big)$ is a skew–symmetric solution of the classical Yang–Baxter equation.

Proposition 4.12. *The $\Bbbk$–linear map $A\xrightarrow{\theta}A\otimes_\Bbbk A$ given by the formula $f\mapsto\big[f\otimes 1+1\otimes f,\rho\big]$, is skew–symmetric and satisfies the so–called* co–Jacobi identity

$$\tau\circ\big((\theta\otimes\mathsf{id})\otimes\theta\big)=0,\quad\text{where}\quad\tau(a_1\otimes a_2\otimes a_3)=a_1\otimes a_2\otimes a_3+a_3\otimes a_1\otimes a_2+a_2\otimes a_3\otimes a_1 \tag{38}$$

for any simple tensor $a_1\otimes a_2\otimes a_3\in A\otimes_\Bbbk A\otimes_\Bbbk A$. In other words, the pair (A,θ) is a Lie bialgebra.

Proof. For any $f\in A$ we have: $g:=\theta(f)=\big[f\otimes 1+1\otimes f,\rho\big]\in\Gamma\big(E^\circ\times E^\circ\setminus\Delta,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}\big)$. We first have to show that g has no pole along Δ, i.e. $g\in\Gamma\big(E^\circ\times E^\circ,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}\big)$. For any $x_1\neq x_2\in E^\circ$ we have: $g(x_1,x_2)=\Big[f(x_1)\otimes 1+1\otimes f(x_2),\dfrac{\nu(x_2)}{x_1-x_2}\widetilde{\gamma}+\upsilon(x_1,x_2)\Big]$, where a

local presentation (19) of ρ is used. Next, we have:

$$g(x_1,x_2)=\frac{\nu(x_2)}{x_1-x_2}\cdot\Big(\Big[f(x_1)\otimes 1+1\otimes f(x_1),\widetilde{\gamma}\Big]+\Big[1\otimes\big(f(x_1)-f(x_2)\big),\widetilde{\gamma}\Big]\Big)+\\ \Big[f(x_1)\otimes 1+1\otimes f(x_2),\upsilon(x_1,x_2)\Big].$$

Hence, the section g is indeed regular, as claimed. The co–Jacobi identity (38) can be proven along the same lines as in [20, Lemma 2.1.3]. □

Remark 4.13. Let E be an elliptic curve. All indecomposable (in particular, all simple) locally free sheaves on E were described by Atiyah [1]. According to his classification, a simple locally free sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on E is uniquely determined by its rank n, degree d and determinant $\mathsf{det}(\mathcal{F})\cong\mathcal{O}_E\big([q]+(d-1)[p]\big)\in\mathsf{Pic}^d(E)\cong E$; in this case we automatically have: $\mathsf{gcd}(n,d)=1$. It follows from [1], that the sheaf of Lie algebras $\mathcal{A}_{(n,d)}=Ad(\mathcal{F})$ does not depend on the moduli parameter $q\in E$ and is determined by the pair (n,d), which can be without loss of generality normalized by the condition $0\leq d<n$. It is easy to show, that $\mathcal{A}_{(n,d)}\cong\mathcal{A}_{(n,n-d)}$ as sheaves of Lie algebras, see [16, Proposition 2.14].

The geometric r–matrix, attached to the pair $(E,\mathcal{A}_{n,d})$, gives precisely an elliptic solution of Belavin; see [16, Theorem 5.5]. In particular, all elliptic solutions of (8) can be realized geometrically. The Lie algebra $A_{(2,1)}=\Gamma\big(E^\circ,\mathcal{A}_{(2,1)}\big)$ (expressed in terms of generators and relations) appeared for the first time in a work of Golod [27]. Combining [16, Proposition 5.1] and [48, Theorem 3.1], one gets a description of the Lie algebra $A_{(n,d)}$ in terms of generators and relations for general mutually prime (n,d). Poisson structures, related with the Lie bialgebra structure of $A_{(n,d)}$ as well as applications to the theory of classical integrable systems were studied in works of Reyman and Semenov–Tian–Shansky [41] and Hurtubise and Markman [31]. See also [32] for yet another appearance of this Lie bialgebra in conformal field theory. In [29, Section 5], Ginzburg, Kapranov and Vasserot studied $A_{(n,d)}$ with tools of the geometric representation theory.

Remark 4.14. Let $(E,\mathcal{A})$ be a pair from Theorem 4.3. Assume additionally that E is singular and $A_K\cong\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\Bbbk}K$. In this case, it can be shown[1], that $A\cong\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\Bbbk}\Gamma\big(E^\circ,\mathcal{O}_E\big)$. Let $\mathbb{P}^1\xrightarrow{\nu}E$ be the normalization map. Then the following results are true.

1. Assume E is nodal. We can choose homogeneous coordinates on E in such a way that $\nu^{-1}(s)=\big\{(0:1),(1:0)\big\}$. Then we can put $\omega=\dfrac{dz}{z}$ and write $\Gamma\big(E^\circ,\mathcal{O}_E\big)\cong\mathbb{C}[z,z^{-1}]$. Then there exists an isomorphism $A\xrightarrow{\xi}\mathfrak{g}[z,z^{-1}]$ and the corresponding skew–symmetric solution $r=\rho^\xi$ of (7) takes the form

$$r(x_1,x_2)=\frac{x_2}{x_1-x_2}\gamma+\upsilon(x_1,x_2),\tag{39}$$

where $\upsilon\in\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm},x_2^{\pm}]$. In other words, under these assumptions, we get a bialgebra structure on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}[z,z^{-1}]$. By the same argument as in [33, Theorem 19], one can prove that r is gauge–equivalent to a trigonometric solution of (8).

Now, assume X is a cycle of projective lines, $\mathcal{F}$ a simple vector bundle on X and Y an irreducible component of X. Let $X\xrightarrow{\mu}E$ be the minimal model of X relative to Y and

[1]We are thankful to Oksana Yakimova for explaining this fact to us.

$\mathcal{A} := \mu_*\big(Ad(\mathcal{F})\big)$. In this case it can be shown that $v \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, i.e. the solution r is *quasi–trigonometric* in the sense of [33].

2. If E is cuspidal, then we can choose homogeneous coordinates on E in such a way that $\nu^{-1}(s) = (1 : 0)$. Then take $\omega = dz$ and write $\Gamma\big(E^\circ, \mathcal{O}_E\big) \cong \mathbb{C}[z]$. Similarly to the previous case, there exists an isomorphism $A \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathfrak{g}[z]$ and the corresponding skew–symmetric solution $r = \rho^\xi$ of (7) has the form

$$r(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{x_1 - x_2}\gamma + v(x_1, x_2), \tag{40}$$

where $v \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$. In other words, r is a rational skew–symmetric solution of (7) in the sense of Stolin [51].

All Lie bialgebra structures on the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$ and $\mathfrak{g}[z]$ were classified by Montaner, Stolin and Zelmanov in [36]. It is an interesting open problem to extend their methods to the case of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}[z, z^{-1}]$ as well as to relate their classification to the geometric approach to CYBE, developed in this paper.

Remark 4.15. Let X be a Kodaira cycle of projective lines, C the regular part of its irreducible component and $\mathcal{F}$ a simple locally free sheaf on X of rank $n \geq 2$. According to Polishchuk [39], the geometric r–matrices arising from a datum $\big((X, Ad(\mathcal{F})), (C, \omega)\big)$ satisfy a *stronger* version of CYBE:

$$\begin{cases} \pi^{\otimes 3}\big(r(x_1, x_2)^{12} r(x_2, x_3)^{23} - r(x_1, x_3)^{13} r(x_1, x_2)^{12} - r(x_2, x_3)^{23} r(x_1, x_3)^{13}\big) = 0 \\ \pi^{\otimes 3}\big(r(x_1, x_2)^{12} r(x_1, x_3)^{13} + r(x_1, x_3)^{13} r(x_2, x_3)^{23} - r(x_2, x_3)^{23} r(x_1, x_2)^{12}\big) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

where $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{pgl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the canonical projection. Assume that r is a quasi–constant (quasi–)trigonometric solution of CYBE (i.e. the function v in (39) is constant). It has been proven by Schedler in [43, Theorem 3.4] that the constraints (41) uniquely determine the "continuous part" of a solution for a given Belavin–Drinfeld triple. Already this observation shows that the class of pairs $\big((X, Ad(\mathcal{F})), (C, \omega)\big)$ is too narrow to realize all quasi–trigonometric solutions of CYBE in a geometric way.

5. Geometrization of the rational solutions

The goal of this section is to show that any non–degenerated skew–symmetric rational solution of the classical Yang–Baxter (7) arises from a pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$ as in Theorem 4.3, where E is the cuspidal Weierstraß cubic.

5.1. **Lie algebra over the affine cuspidal curve from a rational solution of CYBE.** In what follows, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension d and $\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ a non–degenerate skew–symmetric solution of CYBE of the form (40).

- Consider the following symmetric non–degenerate pairing of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$:

$$\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!) \times \mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!) \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}} \mathbb{C}, \quad \bar{\kappa}(az^l, bz^k) := \mathsf{res}_0\big(\kappa(a, b) z^{l+k} dz\big) \text{ for any } a, b \in \mathfrak{g}\,. \tag{42}$$

- Let $e_1, \ldots, e_d$ be some orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the Killing form κ. Obviously, we have: $\gamma = \sum_{l=1}^{d} e_l \otimes e_l$. Moreover, we have a formal power series

expansion

$$r(x,y)=\frac{1}{y-x}\gamma+v(x,y)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l=1}^{d}\big(y^{-k-1}e_l+p_{k,l}(y)\big)\otimes x^k e_l, \tag{43}$$

where $p_{k,l}\in\mathfrak{g}[z]$ for any $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $1\leq l\leq d$. Clearly, $p_{k,l}=0$ for any $k\geq t+1$, where $t=\mathsf{deg}_x(v)$.

- For any pair (k,l) as above, we put $f_{k,l}:=e_l z^{-k-1}+p_{k,l}\in\mathfrak{g}[z,z^{-1}]$ and denote

$$W:=\big\langle f_{k,l}\;\big|\;k\in\mathbb{N}_0, 1\leq l\leq d\big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\subset\mathfrak{g}[z,z^{-1}]\subset\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!). \tag{44}$$

In order to show, how a rational solution r defines a sheaf of Lie algebras on the cuspidal Weierstraß curve, we begin with the following statement.

Theorem 5.1. *Let r be a non–degenerate skew–symmetric rational solution of (7). Then the following results are true.*

- *We have a direct sum decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)=\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]\dotplus W, \tag{45}$$

  i.e. $\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]+W=\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$ and $\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]\cap W=0$.
- *The vector space W is a Lagrangian Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$, i.e. $W^{\perp}=W$ with respect to the pairing $\bar{\kappa}$. In other words, the decomposition (43) is a* Manin triple.
- *Let $S:=\mathbb{C}\big[z^{-2},z^{-3}\big]$. Then we have: $S\cdot W=W$. Moreover, W is a finitely generated torsion free S–module such that $\mathbb{C}(\!(z)\!)\otimes_S W\cong\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of the vector space W that any $0\neq w\in W$ has a non–trivial Laurent part. This implies that $\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]\cap W=0$. Next, for any $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $1\leq l\leq d$ we have: $e_l z^{-k-1}=f_{k,l}-p_{k,l}\in W+\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$. Therefore, $z^{-1}\,\mathfrak{g}[z^{-1}]\subseteq W+\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$, hence $\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]+W=\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$ as claimed.

Next, let us prove that W is a coisotropic subspace of $\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$, i.e. $W\subseteq W^{\perp}$. We have to show that $\bar{\kappa}(w_1,w_2)=0$ for any $w_1,w_2\in W$. To do this, it is sufficient to take elements of the basis of W. We have:

$$\bar{\kappa}\big(f_{k,l},f_{r,t}\big)=\bar{\kappa}\big(e_l z^{-k-1}+p_{k,l},e_t z^{-r-1}+p_{r,t}\big)=\bar{\kappa}\big(e_l z^{-k-1},p_{r,t}\big)+\bar{\kappa}\big(p_{k,l},e_t z^{-r-1}\big).$$

Let us write $p_{k,l}(z)=\sum\limits_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{d}\alpha_{m,j}^{k,l}\big(e_j z^m\big)$, where $\alpha_{m,j}^{k,l}\in\mathbb{C}$. Then the statement that the subspace W is coisotropic, is equivalent to

$$0=\bar{\kappa}\big(f_{k,l},f_{r,t}\big)=\alpha_{r,t}^{k,l}+\alpha_{k,l}^{r,t}\text{ for any }k,r\in\mathbb{N}_0\text{ and }1\leq l,t\leq d. \tag{46}$$

It is not difficult to show, that the equality (46) is equivalent to the *skew–symmetry* of the solution r. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]^{\perp}=\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$. Since we already proved that $\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)=\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]\dotplus W$, the non–degeneracy of the pairing $\bar{\kappa}$ implies that $W=W^{\perp}$.

The statement that W is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$ is a consequence of the assumption that r satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation (7); see for example [28, Proposition 6.2].

Now, let us prove that the Lie subalgebra W is stable under the multiplication by the elements of the ring S. We start with the observation that $z^{-t-1}\,\mathfrak{g}\big[z^{-1}\big]\subseteq W$, thus

$$W=W^{\perp}\subseteq\big(z^{-t-1}\,\mathfrak{g}\big[z^{-1}\big]\big)^{\perp}=z^{t-1}\,\mathfrak{g}\big[z^{-1}\big].$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$z^{-t-1}\,\mathfrak{g}\big[z^{-1}\big] \subseteq W \subseteq z^{t-1}\,\mathfrak{g}\big[z^{-1}\big]. \tag{47}$$

Consider the $\mathbb{C}$–algebra $T := \mathbb{C}\big[z^{-2t}, z^{-2t-1}, \dots\big] \subset \mathbb{C}\big[z^{-1}\big]$. Since,

$$T \cdot \big(z^{t-1}\,\mathfrak{g}\big[z^{-1}\big]\big) = z^{-t-1}\,\mathfrak{g}\big[z^{-1}\big],$$

it follows from (47) that $T \cdot W = W$ and moreover, that W is a torsion free finitely generated module over T. Consider the *ring of multiplicators* of the Lie subalgebra W:

$$B := \big\{ f \in \mathbb{C}(\!(z)\!) \big| f \cdot W \subseteq W \big\}.$$

Claim. We have: $T \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbb{C}\big[z^{-1}\big]$.

Indeed, the inclusion $T \subseteq B$ is clear. Let us first show that $B \subseteq \mathbb{C}\big[z, z^{-1}\big]$. Assume there exists $f \in B \cap \big(\mathbb{C}(\!(z)\!) \setminus \mathbb{C}\big[z, z^{-1}\big]\big)$ (in other words, f is a multiplicator of W which is an *infinite* Laurent series). Since $z^{-t-1}\,\mathfrak{g}\big[z^{-1}\big] \subseteq W$, we have: $a(z^{-t-1}f) \in W$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. But obviously, $a(z^{-t-1}f) \notin \mathfrak{g}\big[z, z^{-1}\big]$, which contradicts (47).

Now, let us prove that $B \subseteq \mathbb{C}\big[z^{-1}\big]$. Put $\bar{t} := \mathsf{max}\{\mathsf{deg}(w) \,\big|\, w \in W\}$. According to (47) we have: $\bar{t} \leq t-1$. Let $w_0 \in W$ be such that $\mathsf{deg}(w_0) = \bar{t}$. If there exists a Laurent polynomial $f \in B$ with $\mathsf{deg}(f) > 0$ then $\mathsf{deg}(f \cdot w_0) \geq \bar{t} + 1$, contradiction.

Denote now $Y := \mathsf{Spec}(T)$. Then Y is an affine curve with a unique singular point s corresponding to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \langle z^{-2t}, z^{-2t-1}, \dots\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ in T. The normalization map $\mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ is bijective, implying that s is a (higher) cusp. Now, we may pass to the completions at the point s:

- $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,s} \cong \mathbb{C}\big[\!\big[z^{-2t}, z^{-2t-1}, \dots\big]\!\big]$.
- $Q\big(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,s}\big) \cong \mathbb{C}(\!(z^{-1})\!)$ (the quotient field of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,s}$).
- $\widehat{W}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$–adic completion of the T–module W.

Because of (47) we have: $\widehat{W} = z^{-t-1}\,\mathfrak{g}[\![z^{-1}]\!] + \big\langle f_{k,l} \,\big|\, 0 \leq k \leq t, 1 \leq i \leq d\big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. From this description of $\widehat{W}$ we derive that $W = \widehat{W} \cap \mathfrak{g}\big[z, z^{-1}\big]$. Furthermore, the following results are true.

- The symmetric $\mathbb{C}$–bilinear pairing

$$\mathfrak{g}(\!(z^{-1})\!) \times \mathfrak{g}(\!(z^{-1})\!) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\kappa}} \mathbb{C}, \text{ where } \widehat{\kappa}(az^l, bz^k) := \mathsf{res}_0\big(\kappa(a,b)z^{l+k}dz\big) \text{ for } a, b \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{48}$$

  is well–defined and non–degenerate.
- We have a Lagrangian decomposition (with respect to the pairing $\widehat{\kappa}$):

$$\mathfrak{g}(\!(z^{-1})\!) = \mathfrak{g}[z] \dotplus \widehat{W}, \tag{49}$$

Actually, the Lagrangian decomposition (49) is precisely the one occurring in Stolin's theory of rational solutions of CYBE. According to results of [51, 52], there exists an automorphism $\varphi \in \mathsf{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}[z]}\big(\mathfrak{g}[z]\big)$ such that $\widehat{W}' := \varphi(\widehat{W}) \subseteq z\,\mathfrak{g}[\![z^{-1}]\!]$. Therefore,

$$\big(z\,\mathfrak{g}[\![z^{-1}]\!]\big)^{\perp} = z^{-1}\,\mathfrak{g}[\![z^{-1}]\!] \subseteq \big(\widehat{W}'\big)^{\perp} = \widehat{W}' \subseteq z\,\mathfrak{g}[\![z^{-1}]\!].$$

Hence, the ring $S = \mathbb{C}[z^{-2}, z^{-3}]$ stabilizes $\widehat{W}'$. Hence, it stabilizes $\widehat{W}$, too. Since $W = \widehat{W} \cap \mathfrak{g}\big[z, z^{-1}\big]$, we have: $S \cdot W = W$, as claimed. Since W is a finitely generated torsion free module over T, it is finitely generated and torsion free over S, too. Theorem is proven. $\square$

5.2. **Spectral data and Beauville–Laszlo construction.** The affine cuspidal cubic $E_\circ := \mathsf{Spec}(S)$ admits a one–point–compactification to a projective cuspidal cubic $E = \overline{V(v^2 - u^3)} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $p \in E$ be the "infinite" point of E. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{E,p}$ be the completion of the local ring of E at p and $\widehat{Q}$ be the quotient field of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$. Then we have a canonical map $\Gamma(E_\circ, \mathcal{O}_E) \xrightarrow{l_p} \widehat{Q}$, assigning to a regular function on $E_\circ$ its formal Laurent expansion at the point p. We have the following commutative diagram of $\mathbb{C}$–algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma(E_\circ, \mathcal{O}_E) & \xhookrightarrow{\quad l_p \quad} & \widehat{Q} & \xhookleftarrow{\qquad} & \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \\ \cong\uparrow & & \cong\uparrow & & \uparrow\cong \\ \mathbb{C}[z^{-2}, z^{-3}] & \xhookrightarrow{\qquad} & \mathbb{C}(\!(z)\!) & \xhookleftarrow{\qquad} & \mathbb{C}[\![z]\!] \end{array} \tag{50}$$

To simplify the notation, we shall view the vertical isomorphisms as the identity maps. Let $V := z^{-t-1}\, \mathfrak{g}[\![z^{-1}]\!]$. According to (47) we have: $V \subseteq W$. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that the quotient vector space W/V is finite dimensional. Therefore, the induced map $\widehat{Q} \otimes_S V \longrightarrow \widehat{Q} \otimes_S W$ is an isomorphism. Let $F := \mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$. Let ϕ be the $\widehat{Q}$–linear isomorphism of Lie algebras making the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widehat{Q} \otimes_S W & \xleftarrow{\quad\cong\quad} & \widehat{Q} \otimes_S V & \xrightarrow{\quad\mathsf{mult}\quad} & \mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!) \\ {\scriptstyle\phi}\downarrow & & & & \downarrow{=} \\ \widehat{Q} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}} F & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad\mathsf{mult}\qquad\qquad} & & \mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!) \end{array}$$

commutative. According to a construction of Beauville and Laszlo [4], the datum (W, F, ϕ) defines a sheaf of Lie algebras $\mathcal{A}$ on the projective curve E, together with isomorphisms of Lie algebras $\Gamma\big(E_\circ, \mathcal{A}\big) \xrightarrow{\alpha} W$ and $\widehat{A}_p \xrightarrow{\beta} W$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{Q} \otimes_S \Gamma\big(E_\circ, \mathcal{A}\big) & \xrightarrow{\quad\mathsf{can}\quad} & \widehat{Q} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}} \widehat{A}_p \\ {\scriptstyle\mathsf{id}\otimes\alpha}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\mathsf{id}\otimes\beta} \\ \widehat{Q} \otimes_S W & \xrightarrow{\quad\phi\quad} & \widehat{Q} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}} F \end{array} \tag{51}$$

is commutative. Here, the Lie algebra A_p is the germ of the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ at the point p and $\widehat{A}_p$ is its completion. An interested reader might look for a more detailed exposition in [19, Section 1.7], where the Beauville–Laszlo construction occurred in another setting. The following sequence of vector spaces (which is a version of the Mayer–Vietoris sequence)

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(E, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E_\circ, \mathcal{A}) \oplus \widehat{A}_p \longrightarrow Q\big(\widehat{A}_p\big) \longrightarrow H^1(E, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{52}$$

is exact; see e.g. [38, Proposition 3]. Since $W + F = \mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$ and $W \cap F = 0$, we may conclude that $H^0(E, \mathcal{A}) = 0 = H^1(E, \mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 5.2. *As usual, let $\breve{E}$ be the regular part of E. Then we have:*

$$\Gamma(\breve{E},\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\Gamma(\breve{E},\mathcal{O}_E) \cong \mathfrak{g}[z].$$

Proof. It follows from (47) that $\Gamma\big(E_0\setminus\{s\},\mathcal{A}\big) \cong \mathfrak{g}[z,z^{-1}]$. Again, we have the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \Gamma\big(\breve{E},\mathcal{A}\big) \longrightarrow \Gamma\big(\breve{E}\setminus\{p\},\mathcal{A}\big)\oplus\widehat{A}_p \longrightarrow Q\big(\widehat{A}_p\big) \longrightarrow 0$. Since $\mathfrak{g}[z,z^{-1}]\cap\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!] = \mathfrak{g}[z]$, we get the result. □

5.3. **Comparison theorem.** Let us first summarize the results and constructions made in the previous two subsections. We have started with a non–degenerate skew–symmetric rational solution r of CYBE (40). Then we attached to it a Lagrangian Lie subalgebra $W\subset\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$ with respect to the pairing (42). Next, we proved that the ring $S=\mathbb{C}[z^{-2},z^{-3}]$ stabilizes W and that W is a finitely generated torsion free S–module. Hence, we get a sheaf of Lie algebras on the affine cuspidal curve $E_\circ = \mathsf{Spec}(S)$. The curve $E_\circ$ can be completed by a single smooth point p to a projective curve E, which is isomorphic to the Weierstraß cubic. As usual, we denote by s the unique singular point of E and by $\breve{E}$ the regular part of E. Using a construction of Beauville and Laszlo [4], we extended the S–module W to a torsion free coherent sheaf of Lie algebras on E such that

- there exists an S–linear isomorphism of Lie algebras $\Gamma\big(E_\circ,\mathcal{A}\big)\xrightarrow{\alpha} W$ and a $\mathbb{C}(\!(z)\!)$–linear isomorphism of Lie algebras $\widehat{A}_p\xrightarrow{\beta}\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$, satisfying the condition (51);
- we have: $H^0(E,\mathcal{A})=0=H^1(E,\mathcal{A})$.

According to Lemma 5.2, we have an isomorphism $\Gamma(\breve{E},\mathcal{A})\xrightarrow{\vartheta}\mathfrak{g}[z]$ induced by the isomorphisms α and β. In particular, the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly $\mathfrak{g}$–locally free over $\breve{E}$. In these notations, z is a local parameter of the point p and $\omega=dz$ spans the vector space $\Gamma\big(E,\Omega_E\big)$. Since the Lie algebra $\widehat{W}\subset\mathfrak{g}(\!(z^{-1})\!)$ is Lagrangian with respect to the pairing (49), the Lie algebra A_s is a Lagrangian subalgebra of A_K. Summing up, $(E,\mathcal{A})$ is a pair satisfying all conditions, which are necessary to apply Theorem 4.3. Now we are prepared to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.3. *Let r be a non–degenerate skew–symmetric rational solution of CYBE and $\mathcal{A}$ the sheaf of Lie algebras on the cuspidal cubic E, described above. Let $\rho\in\Gamma\big(\breve{E}\times\breve{E}\setminus\Delta,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}\big)$ be the geometric r–matrix, attached to the datum $\big((E,\mathcal{A}),(\breve{E},\omega)\big)$ and $\widetilde{r}:=\rho^{\vartheta}:\mathbb{C}^2\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ be the corresponding solution of CYBE, where $\Gamma(\breve{E},\mathcal{A})\xrightarrow{\vartheta}\mathfrak{g}[z]$ is the trivialization described above. Then for any point $(x_1,x_2)\in\breve{E}\times\breve{E}\setminus\Delta\cong\mathbb{C}^2\setminus\Delta$ we have: $\widetilde{r}(x_1,x_2)=r(x_2,x_1)=-r(x_1,x_2)$, i.e. we essentially get the same solution of CYBE we started with.*

Proof. For any $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, consider the scheme $P_n:=\mathsf{Spec}\big(\mathbb{C}[x]/x^{n+1}\big)$ and the morphism $P_n\xrightarrow{\jmath_n}\breve{E}$ mapping the closed point of P_n to the "infinite" point p of $\breve{E}$. Note that $\big(E_\circ\times\{p\}\big)\cap\Delta=\emptyset$, where the intersection is taken inside of $E_\circ\times\breve{E}$. Therefore, we have a morphism of schemes $E_\circ\times P_n\xrightarrow{\mathsf{id}\times\jmath_n}\big(E_\circ\times\breve{E}\big)\setminus\Delta$. Consider now the following

commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma\big(E\times\breve{E},\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}(\Delta)\big) & & \\
\big\downarrow & \searrow & \\
\Gamma\big(E\times\breve{E}\setminus\Delta,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}\big) & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma\big(\breve{E}\times\breve{E}\setminus\Delta,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}\big) \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\mathsf{id}\times\jmath_n)^*} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\mathsf{id}\times\jmath_n)^*} \\
\Gamma\big(E_\circ,\mathcal{A}\big)\otimes\Gamma\big(P_n,\jmath_n^*\mathcal{A}\big) & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma\big(\breve{E}_\circ,\mathcal{A}\big)\otimes\Gamma\big(P_n,\jmath_n^*\mathcal{A}\big) \\
\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \vartheta\otimes\bar{\beta}} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_|\otimes\bar{\beta}} \\
W\otimes\big(\mathfrak{g}[x]/x^{n+1}\big) & \xrightarrow{\ \tau_n\ } & \big(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}\big)\big[x,y,y^{-1}\big]/(x^{n+1}).
\end{array}
\tag{53}
$$

Here, $\breve{E}_\circ = E\setminus\{s,p\}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ is the morphism induced by the trivialization $\widehat{A}_p \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$, whereas τ_n is the *isomorphism* of vector spaces induced by the isomorphism $W\big|_{\breve{E}_\circ} \cong (\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g})[z,z^{-1}]$, which is obtained by localizing (47) with respect to the multiplicative subset $\big\{z^{-k}\big|k\in\mathbb{N}_0\setminus\{1\}\big\}\subset S$. Recall that we have a distinguished element $\varrho\in\Gamma\big(E\times\breve{E},\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}(\Delta)\big)$, which restricts to the geometric r–matrix $\rho\in\Gamma\big(\breve{E}\times\breve{E}\setminus\Delta,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}\big)$. The corresponding solution of CYBE has the form $\widetilde{r}(y,x)=\dfrac{\gamma}{y-x}+\widetilde{v}(x,y)$, where $\widetilde{v}\in(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g})[x,y]$. Next, we have the following equality in the ring $\mathbb{C}\big[x,y,y^{-1}\big]/(x^{n+1})$:

$$
\frac{1}{y-x}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}y^{-k-1}x^k;
$$

in particular, $y-x\in\mathbb{C}\big[x,y,y^{-1}\big]/(x^{n+1})$ is a unit. Similarly to (43), we get an expansion

$$
\widetilde{r}_n(y,x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{d}\big(y^{-k-1}e_l+\widetilde{p}_{k,l}(y)\big)\otimes x^ke_l,
\tag{54}
$$

where $\widetilde{p}_{k,l}$ are some elements of $\mathfrak{g}[y]$ for $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $1\leqslant l\leqslant d$. In fact, (54) is precisely the image of the geometric r–matrix ρ in the vector space $\big(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}\big)\big[x,y,y^{-1}\big]/(x^{n+1})$. However, the element $\widetilde{r}_n(y,x)$ belongs to the image of some element of the vector space $W\otimes\big(\mathfrak{g}[x]/x^{n+1}\big)$. Any element $\bar{\bar{r}}$ of this space can be written as

$$
\bar{\bar{r}}=\sum_{k,i=0}^{n}\sum_{l,j=1}^{d}\lambda_{k,l}^{i,j}\big(y^{-k-1}e_l+p_{k,l}(y)\big)\otimes x^ie_j
$$

for some uniquely determined constants $\lambda_{k,l}^{i,j}\in\mathbb{C}$. Looking at the Laurent part of the identity $\tau_n\big(\bar{\bar{r}}\big)=\widetilde{r}_n(y,x)$, we conclude that

$$
\lambda_{k,l}^{i,j}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll} 1 & \text{if } (i,j) & = \ (k,l) \\ 0 & \text{if } (i,j) & \neq \ (k,l)\end{array}\right.
$$

and that $p_{k,l}=\widetilde{p}_{k,l}$ for any $0\leqslant k\leqslant n$ and $1\leqslant l\leqslant d$. Since $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ can be taken arbitrary large, we arrive to the claimed statement. $\square$

Corollary 5.4. *Theorem 5.3 implies that any non–degenerate skew–symmetric rational solution of CYBE arises from a geometric r–matrix for an appropriate pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$, where E is the cuspidal Weierstraß cubic.*

6. Manin triples and the geometric r–matrix

Proposition 6.1. *Let E be a Weierstraß cubic, $p \in E$ its "infinite" point, $\widehat{Q}_p$ the field of fractions of the local ring $\widehat{O}_p$, ω a generator of $\Gamma(E, \Omega_E)$ and $\mathcal{A}$ a sheaf of Lie algebras on E satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.3. Then we have a Lagrangian decomposition*

$$Q(\widehat{A}_p) = \widehat{A}_p \dotplus \Gamma\big(E_\circ, \mathcal{A}\big), \tag{55}$$

where the $\mathbb{C}$–bilinear pairing on $Q(\widehat{A}_p)$ is given by the rule $Q(\widehat{A}_p) \times Q(\widehat{A}_p) \xrightarrow{\kappa_p} \widehat{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\mathsf{res}_p^\omega} \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. The fact that we have a direct sum decomposition (55), is a consequence of the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence (52) and the assumption that $H^0(E, \mathcal{A}) = 0 = H^1(E, \mathcal{A})$. Hence, we have to show that (55) is a Lagrangian decomposition. To do this, it is sufficient to prove that both Lie algebras $\widehat{A}_p$ and $\Gamma\big(E_\circ, \mathcal{A}\big)$ are coisotropic subspaces of $Q(\widehat{A}_p)$. Obviously, the differential form ω is regular at the point p. Next, the Killing form $\widehat{A}_p \times \widehat{A}_p \xrightarrow{\kappa_p} \widehat{Q}_p$ actually takes value in the ring $\widehat{O}_p$. Therefore, the Lie algebra $\widehat{A}_p$ is a coisotropic subspace of $Q(\widehat{A}_p)$, as claimed.

To prove that $\Gamma\big(E_\circ, \mathcal{A}\big)$ is a coisotropic Lie subalgebra of $Q(\widehat{A}_p)$ as well, take any two elements $f, g \in \Gamma\big(E_\circ, \mathcal{A}\big)$. Then we get a rational function $\kappa(f, g) \in K$, where here κ is the Killing form on the rational envelope A_K. The residue theorem implies that

$$0 = \sum_{q \in E} \mathsf{res}_q\big(\kappa(f,g)\omega\big) = \mathsf{res}_s\big(\kappa(f,g)\omega\big) + \sum_{q \in \breve{E}_\circ} \mathsf{res}_q\big(\kappa(f,g)\omega\big) + \mathsf{res}_p\big(\kappa(f,g)\omega\big).$$

We have: $\mathsf{res}_s\big(\kappa(f,g)\omega\big) = 0$, because $A_s \subset A_K$ is coisotropic (if E is smooth then this contribution is void). Next, $\mathsf{res}_q\big(\kappa(f,g)\omega\big) = 0$ for any $q \in \breve{E}_\circ$, since $\kappa(f,g) \in \Gamma\big(\breve{E}_\circ, \mathcal{O}_E\big)$ and ω is regular at q. Hence, $\mathsf{res}_p\big(\kappa(f,g)\omega\big) = 0$ for any $f, g \in \Gamma\big(E_\circ, \mathcal{A}\big)$ as claimed. □

Remark 6.2. Let r be an arbitrary non–degenerate skew–symmetric solution of (7) of the form $r(x,y) = \dfrac{\gamma}{y-x} + u(x,y)$, where $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \xrightarrow{u} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is holomorphic (note that any solution of CYBE with one spectral parameter (8) automatically has this property by a result of Belavin and Drinfeld [6]). In the domain $|x| < |y|$, we can write an expansion

$$r(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{d} \big(y^{-k-1} e_l + u_{k,l}(y)\big) \otimes x^k e_l, \tag{56}$$

where $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \xrightarrow{u_{k,l}} \mathfrak{g}$ are germs of holomorphic functions for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $1 \leq l \leq d$. Consider the vector space

$$W := \big\langle z^{-k-1} e_l + u_{k,l}(z) \;\big|\; k \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ 1 \leq l \leq d \big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!). \tag{57}$$

Then we get a Lagrangian decomposition (Manin triple)

$$\mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!) = \mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!] \dotplus W \tag{58}$$

with respect to the pairing (42); see for example [28, Proposition 6.2]. Conversely, starting with a Manin triple (58), we can take the "topological basis" $\big(e_l z^k \big| k \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ 1 \leq l \leq d\big)$ of $\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$. Then we get a uniquely determined dual basis $\big(f_{k,l} \big| k \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ 1 \leq l \leq d\big)$ of the Lie algebra W. If (58) is a decomposition arising from an r–matrix (56) then $f_{k,l}(z) = z^{-k-1}e_l + u_{k,l}(z)$.

Manin triples of the form (58), leading to the elliptic solutions of CYBE, were constructed by Reyman and Semenov–Tian–Shansky [41]. In fact, let $(E, \mathcal{A})$ be as in Remark 4.13. Then the resulting Manin triple (55) can be identified with the one from [41]. It follows for example from a straightforward computation [16, Theorem 5.5], that the geometric r–matrix attached to the pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$ leads to the power series expression as the one obtained by the approach via Manin triples. As we shall see later in Theorem 6.4, this result can be deduced without doing any explicit computations. The algebro–geometric origin of Manin triples (58) was pointed out by Drinfeld [23, Section 3]. □

Remark 6.3. Let us now discuss the case of a *nodal* Weierstraß curve E, since some extra steps to identify the Manin triples (55) and (58) have to be done. Consider the $\mathbb{C}$–algebra

$$S := \big\{p \in \mathbb{C}[w] \ \big|\ p(-1) = p(1)\big\} \subset \mathbb{C}[w].$$

Then $E_\circ := \mathsf{Spec}(S)$ is isomorphic to an affine nodal Weierstraß curve. Of course, it admits a one–point compactification by a smooth point p. Algebraically, this compactification can be formalized by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma(E_\circ, \mathcal{O}_E) & \hookrightarrow^{l_p} & \widehat{Q}_p & \hookleftarrow & \widehat{O}_p \\ \cong\uparrow & & \cong\uparrow & & \uparrow\cong \\ S & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}(\!(w^{-1})\!) & \hookleftarrow & \mathbb{C}[\![w^{-1}]\!]. \end{array} \tag{59}$$

Consider the differential one–form $\omega := \dfrac{1}{1-w^2}$ on E and put $y := \dfrac{1}{w}$. Then y is a local parameter at the point p and $\omega = \dfrac{1}{1-y^2}$. It is not difficult to check that ω generates $\Gamma\big(E, \Omega_E\big)$. Consider the algebra isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[\![y]\!] \xrightarrow{\ \psi\ } \mathbb{C}[\![z]\!], \quad y \mapsto \tanh(z) = z - \frac{1}{3}z^3 + \frac{2}{15}z^5 + \dots$$

It is easy to see that $\widetilde{\omega} := \psi(\omega) = dz$. Moreover, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}(\!(y)\!) & \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{res}_0^{\omega}\ } & \mathbb{C} \\ {\scriptstyle\psi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{=} \\ \mathbb{C}(\!(z)\!) & \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{res}_0^{\widetilde{\omega}}\ } & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

is commutative; see for example [54]. Note that under these identifications, we get an inclusion $S \subset \mathbb{C}\big[\coth(z)\big] = \mathbb{C}\left[\dfrac{\mathrm{ch}(z)}{\mathrm{sh}(z)}\right]$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf of Lie algebras on a nodal Weierstraß cubic E and $\rho \in \Gamma\big(\breve{E}\times\breve{E}\setminus\Delta, \mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}\big)$ be the corresponding geometric r–matrix constructed in Theorem 4.3. Let us choose local coordinates in a neighbourhood of the point $(p,p) \in \breve{E}\times\breve{E}$, following (59). According to (19), we can write: $\rho(x_1,x_2) = \dfrac{1-x_2^2}{x_1-x_2}\widetilde{\gamma} + \upsilon(x_1,x_2)$, where $\upsilon \in \Gamma\big(\breve{E}\times\breve{E}, \mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A}\big)$. Let us put $x_j = \tanh(y_j)$ for $j=1,2$, then we get:

$$\rho(y_1,y_2) = \frac{\widetilde{\gamma}}{\mathrm{ch}^2(y_2)\cdot\big(\tanh(y_1)-\tanh(y_2)\big)} + \upsilon\big(\tanh(y_1),\tanh(y_2)\big).$$

Taking a formal trivialization $\widehat{A}_p \xrightarrow{\ \xi\ } \mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$, we obtain a skew–symmetric solution of CYBE as in Remark 6.2. □

Summing up, let us start with an arbitrary pair $(E,\mathcal{A})$ as in Theorem 4.3. We can always find an algebra isomorphism $\widehat{O}_p \xrightarrow{\ \zeta\ } \mathbb{C}[\![z]\!]$ such that a generator $\omega \in \Gamma\big(E,\Omega_E\big)$ takes the form: $\omega = dz$. Choose an isomorphism of Lie algebras $\widehat{A}_p \xrightarrow{\ \xi\ } \mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$. Then the Lagrangian decomposition (55) gets the form (58), where W is the image of $\Gamma\big(E_\circ,\mathcal{A}\big)$ under the map $Q\big(\widehat{A}_p\big) \xrightarrow{\ \widetilde{\xi}\ } \mathfrak{g}(\!(z)\!)$ induced by ξ.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $(E,\mathcal{A})$ be a pair as in Theorem 4.3 and $\big(f_{k,l}\big|k\in\mathbb{N}_0,\ 1\le l\le d\big)$ be the basis of the Lie algebra W, which is dual to the "topological basis" $\big(e_l z^k\big|k\in\mathbb{N}_0,\ 1\le l\le d\big)$ of $\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$. Then $f_{k,l} = z^{-k-1}e_l + p_{k,l}$ for some $p_{k,l}\in\mathfrak{g}[\![z]\!]$ and the formal power series*

$$r(y,x) = \frac{\gamma}{y-x} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l=1}^{d} p_{k,l}(y)\otimes x^k e_l, \tag{60}$$

coincides with the geometric r–matrix ρ trivialized at the formal neighbourhood of $(p,p)\in E\times\breve{E}$ by the map induced by ξ.

Proof. Consider the point $(p,p)\in E\times\breve{E}$ (we intentionally underline the asymmetry of these two factors); let y be a local coordinate at the point $p\in E$ and x a local coordinate of $p\in\breve{E}$ chosen in the way explained above. For any $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, consider the scheme $P_n := \mathsf{Spec}\big(\mathbb{C}[z]/z^{n+1}\big)$ and the morphism $P_n \xrightarrow{\ \jmath_n\ } \breve{E}$ mapping the closed point of P_n to $p\in\breve{E}$. We have a commutative diagram



By the same argument as in Theorem 5.3 one can show that the image of the distinguished element $\varrho \in \Gamma\big(E \times \breve{E}, \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}(\Delta)\big)$ in the vector space $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \otimes \big(\mathbb{C}(\!(y)\!)[x]/(x^{n+1})\big)$ is equal to $r_n(y,x) := \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n} \sum\limits_{l=1}^{d} f_{k,l}(y) \otimes x^k e_l$, implying the result. □

7. Some explicit computations

In this section, we compute the solutions of CYBE for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ arising from pairs $(E, \mathcal{A})$ by the recipe of Theorem 4.3, where E is a singular Weierstraß curve and $\mathcal{A}$ a certain sheaf of Lie algebras, which is not locally free at the singular point $s \in E$.

7.1. **Category of triples.** We first recall some general techniques to describe torsion free sheaves on singular curves, following [24, 13, 8]. Let X be a reduced singular curve, $\widetilde{X} \xrightarrow{\nu} X$ its normalisation and $\mathcal{I} := \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}\big(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}), \mathcal{O}\big)$ the conductor ideal sheaf. Denote by $Z = V(\mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\eta} X$ the closed subscheme defined by $\mathcal{I}$ (whose topological support is precisely the singular locus of X) and by $\widetilde{Z} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} \widetilde{X}$ its preimage in $\widetilde{X}$, defined by the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{Z} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} & \widetilde{X} \\ {\scriptstyle\tilde{\nu}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\nu} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\eta} & X. \end{array} \tag{61}$$

Definition 7.1. The *category of triples* $\mathsf{Tri}(X)$ is defined as follows.

- Its objects are triples $\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{V}, \theta\big)$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathsf{VB}(\widetilde{X})$, $\mathcal{V} \in \mathsf{Coh}(Z)$ and $\tilde{\nu}^*\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\theta} \tilde{\eta}^*\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is an epimorphism in $\mathsf{Coh}(\widetilde{Z})$ such that the adjoint morphism in $\mathsf{Coh}(Z)$
$$\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \tilde{\nu}_*\big(\tilde{\nu}^*\mathcal{V}\big) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}_*(\theta)} \tilde{\nu}_*\big(\tilde{\eta}^*\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\big)$$
is a monomorphism.
- The set of morphisms $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathsf{Tri}(X)}\big((\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_1, \mathcal{V}_1, \theta_1), (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2, \mathcal{V}_2, \theta_2)\big)$ consists of all pairs (f, g), where $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 \xrightarrow{f} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$ and $\mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{V}_2$ are morphisms of coherent sheaves such that the following diagram
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\nu}^*\mathcal{V}_1 & \xrightarrow{\theta_1} & \tilde{\eta}^*\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 \\ {\scriptstyle\tilde{\nu}^*(g)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tilde{\eta}^*(f)} \\ \tilde{\nu}^*\mathcal{V}_2 & \xrightarrow{\theta_2} & \tilde{\eta}^*\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2 \end{array}$$
is commutative.

Theorem 7.2. *Let X be a reduced curve. For any torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X, consider the canonical morphism $\theta_{\mathcal{F}} : \tilde{\pi}^*(\eta^*\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\eta}^*(\pi^*\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\eta}^*(\nu^*\mathcal{F}/\mathsf{tor}(\nu^*\mathcal{F}))$. Then the functor*

$$\mathsf{TF}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}} \mathsf{Tri}(X), \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \big(\nu^*\mathcal{F}/\mathsf{tor}(\nu^*\mathcal{F}), \eta^*\mathcal{F}, \theta_{\mathcal{F}}\big) \tag{62}$$

is an equivalence of categories. Moreover, let $\mathsf{Tri}^{\mathsf{lf}}(X)$ *be the full subcategory of* $\mathsf{Tri}(X)$ *consisting of those objects* $\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}},\mathcal{V},\theta\big)$*, for which* $\mathcal{V}$ *is a free* $\mathcal{O}_Z$*–module and* θ *is an isomorphism. Then* $\mathbb{F}$ *restricts on an equivalence of categories* $\mathsf{VB}(X)\longrightarrow\mathsf{Tri}^{\mathsf{lf}}(X)$*. Finally, for any torsion free sheaf* $\mathcal{F}$ *and locally free sheaf* $\mathcal{G}$ *we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G})\cong\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{F})\otimes\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{G}),$$

where the tensor product in $\mathsf{Tri}(X)$ *is defined in a straightforward way.*

A proof of this Theorem can be found in [13, Theorem 1.3] or [8, Theorem 16]. □

7.2. **Torsion free sheaves on singular Weierstraß curves.** Let E be a singular Weierstraß cubic, $\mathbb{P}^1\xrightarrow{\nu}E$ its normalization. In both cases we have: $Z=\mathsf{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, whereas

$$\widetilde{Z}=\begin{cases}\mathsf{Spec}\big(\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\big) & \text{nodal case}\\ \mathsf{Spec}\big(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)\big) & \text{cuspidal case.}\end{cases}$$

Choose homogeneous coordinates $(z_0:z_1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ so that the condition (31) is satisfied. We shall identify $y\in\mathbb{C}$ we the point $(1:y)$ of $\mathbb{P}^1$. The choice of homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^1$ defines two distinguished sections $z_0,z_1\in H^0\big(\mathbb{P}^1,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)\big)$. As a consequence, for any $c\in\mathbb{N}$, we get a distinguished basis of the vector space $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)\big)$ given by the monomials $z_0^c,z_0^{c-1}z_1,\dots,z_1^c$. It is convenient to choose the following trivialization:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)\Big|_Z\xrightarrow{\xi}\mathcal{O}_Z,\quad f\mapsto\begin{cases}\left(\dfrac{f}{z_0\big|_{V_0}},\dfrac{f}{z_1\big|_{V_\infty}}\right) & \text{nodal case}\\ \left(\dfrac{f}{z_1\big|_{V_\infty}}\right) & \text{cuspidal case,}\end{cases}$$

where f is a local section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, whereas V_0 and V_∞ are open neighbourhoods of 0 and ∞ respectively. This choice then defines trivializations $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)\Big|_{\widetilde{Z}}\xrightarrow{\xi_c}\mathcal{O}_Z$ for any $c\in\mathbb{Z}$, which are compatible with the isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c_1)\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c_2)\cong\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c_1+c_2)$. By Theorem 7.2, any torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n on E is determined by the corresponding triple $\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}},\mathbb{C}^m,\Theta\big)\cong\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{F})$, where

$$\Theta=\begin{cases}\big(\Theta_0,\Theta_\infty\big) & \text{nodal case}\\ \Theta_\circ+\varepsilon\Theta_\varepsilon & \text{cuspidal case}\end{cases}\qquad\text{with}\quad\Theta_0,\Theta_\infty,\Theta_\circ,\Theta_\varepsilon\in\mathsf{Mat}_{n\times m}(\mathbb{C}).\tag{63}$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$\chi(\mathcal{F})=\mathsf{deg}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\big)+(m-n);\tag{64}$$

see e.g. [18, Lemma 5.1.6]. Next, by the theorem of Birkhoff–Grothendieck, any vector bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ splits into a direct sum of line bundles: $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\cong\bigoplus\limits_{c\in\mathbb{Z}}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)\big)^{\oplus m_c}$. Therefore, a description of the isomorphism classes of objects in the category $\mathsf{Tri}(E)$ (and, as a consequence, of $\mathsf{TF}(E)$), reduces to a certain matrix problem [24, 13, 12, 9, 8, 10].

Lemma 7.3. *Let* E *be a singular Weierstraß curve and* $y\in\breve{E}$ *a smooth point. In terms ob the identifications made above, we have:*

$$\mathbb{F}\big(\mathcal{O}_E(y)\big)\cong\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1),\mathbb{C},\theta_y\big),\tag{65}$$

where $\theta_y = \big((1),(-y)\big)$ *in the nodal case and* $\theta_y = \big((1)-\varepsilon(y)\big)$ *in the cuspidal case.*

Proof. We refer to [18, Lemma 5.1.2 and Lemma 5.1.27] for a detailed treatment, both of the nodal and the cuspidal cases. Note that in the nodal case, slightly different conventions to trivialize $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)\Big|_{\widetilde{Z}}$ were made in [18, Lemma 5.1.2], leading to a deviation in the final description of the corresponding triples. □

Proposition 7.4. *Let* $\mathcal{Q}$ *be a torsion free sheaf on a singular Weierstraß curve* E, $n = \mathsf{rk}(\mathcal{Q})$ *and* $d = \chi(\mathcal{Q})$. *Then the following results are true.*

- *If* $\mathcal{Q}$ *is simple (i.e.* $\mathsf{End}_E(\mathcal{Q}) \cong \mathbb{C}$*) then* $\mathsf{gcd}(n,d) = 1$.
- *Other way around, for any* $(n,d) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{Z}$ *such that* $\mathsf{gcd}(n,d) = 1$, *there exists a unique (up a an isomorphism) simple torsion free and not locally free sheaf* $\mathcal{Q}$ *of rank* n *and Euler characteristic* d.
- *For* $d = 1$, *this torsion free sheaf is given by the triple* $\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus n}, \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \Theta\big)$, *where*

$$\Theta = \big(\Theta_0, \Theta_\infty\big) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right). \tag{66}$$

in the nodal case, and by

$$\Theta = \Theta_\circ + \varepsilon\Theta_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{67}$$

in the cuspidal case (here, we follow the notation (63)).

- *Let* O *be the completion of the local ring* $\mathcal{O}_s$ *and* $\widetilde{O}$ *be its normalization. Then we have:* $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_s \cong O^{(n-1)} \oplus \widetilde{O}$.

Proof. The first two statements are consequences of [17, Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.5]. It follows from the definition of the category $\mathsf{Tri}(E)$ that triples defined by (66) and (67) are actually simple. Hence, the third statement follows from the formula (64). Finally, the last statement follows from the fact that the functor $\mathbb{F}$ from Theorem 7.2 commutes with the functors of taking localizations and completions. □

Proposition 7.5. *Let* $\mathcal{Q}$ *be a simple torsion free and not locally free sheaf on* E *of rank* n *and Euler characteristic one. Consider the sheaf of Lie algebras* $\mathcal{A} = \overline{Ad}(\mathcal{Q})$ *on* E *defined by the short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow End_E(\mathcal{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{tr}} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{68}$$

where tr *is the composition* $End_E(\mathcal{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{can}} \nu_*\big(End_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}})\big) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathsf{tr}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ *for* $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} := \nu_*\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big)$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} := \nu_*\big(\nu^*\mathcal{F}/\mathsf{tor}(\nu^*\mathcal{F})\big) \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\oplus n}$. *Then the following results are true.*

- $H^0(E,\mathcal{A}) = 0 = H^1(E,\mathcal{A})$ *and* $\Gamma\big(\breve{E},\mathcal{A}\big) \cong \mathfrak{g}\otimes\Gamma\big(\breve{E},\mathcal{O}_E\big)$.
- A_s *is a coisotropic Lie subalgebra of the rational hull* A_K.

In other words, the pair $(E,\mathcal{A})$ *satisfies all conditions of Theorem 4.3.*

Proof. Let $Q := \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_s$. It follows from the last part of Proposition 7.4 that

$$\mathsf{End}_O(Q) \cong \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{O} & \widetilde{O} & \dots & \widetilde{O} \\ I & O & \dots & O \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I & O & \dots & O \end{pmatrix}, \tag{69}$$

where $I := \mathsf{Hom}_O\big(\widetilde{O}, O\big)$ is the conductor ideal. Therefore, the morphism tr in the exact sequence (68) is an epimorphism, as claimed. Consider the long exact cohomology sequence of (68). Taking into account that $H^1(E, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}) \cong H^0\big(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big) = 0$, we get:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(E, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{End}_E(\mathcal{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{tr}} H^0(E, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow H^1(E, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow H^1\big(E, End_E(\mathcal{Q})\big) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $H^0(E, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathsf{tr}\big(\mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{Q}}\big) = n$, we conclude that $H^0(E, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ and $H^1(E, \mathcal{A}) \cong H^1\big(E, End_E(\mathcal{Q})\big)$. According to [17, Corollary 4.3], there exists an auto–equivalence of the derived category $D^b\big(\mathsf{Coh}(E)\big)$ mapping the torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$ to the structure sheaf of the singular point $\mathbb{C}_s$. Therefore,

$$\mathsf{Ext}^1_E(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) \cong \mathsf{Ext}^1_E(\mathbb{C}_s, \mathbb{C}_s) \cong \Gamma\big(E, Ext^1_E(\mathbb{C}_s, \mathbb{C}_s)\big) \cong \mathbb{C}^2,$$

where the last isomorphism follows from a local computation. Next, we have the following local–to–global exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1\big(E, End_E(\mathcal{Q})\big) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ext}^1_E(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) \longrightarrow \Gamma\big(E, Ext^1_E(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})\big) \longrightarrow H^2\big(E, End_E(\mathcal{Q})\big).$$

From the dimension reasons we have: $H^2\big(E, End_E(\mathcal{Q})\big) = 0$. The local structure of the O–module Q is known: $Q \cong O^{n-1} \oplus \widetilde{O}$. We have the following vanishings:

$$\mathsf{Ext}^1_O(O, O) = \mathsf{Ext}^1_O(O, \widetilde{O}) = \mathsf{Ext}^1_O(\widetilde{O}, O) = 0$$

(the last one follows for example from the fact that the local ring O is Gorenstein). A local computation shows that both in nodal and cuspidal cases we have: $\mathsf{Ext}^1_O(\widetilde{O}, \widetilde{O}) \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. This implies that $H^1(E, End_E(\mathcal{Q})\big) = 0$. The isomorphism $\Gamma(\breve{E}, \mathcal{A}) \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Gamma\big(\breve{E}, \mathcal{O}_E\big)$ obviously follows from the fact that $\mathcal{Q}\big|_{\breve{E}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\breve{E}}^{\oplus n}$. The first part of the proposition is proven.

Consider the following short exact sequence of O–modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow A_s \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{O} & \widetilde{O} & \dots & \widetilde{O} \\ I & O & \dots & O \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I & O & \dots & O \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{tr}} \widetilde{O} \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows, that $A_s \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} O & \widetilde{O} & \dots & \widetilde{O} \\ I & O & \dots & O \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I & O & \dots & O \end{pmatrix}$. Since $I \cdot \widetilde{O} = I \subseteq O$, we may conclude that the trace form $A_s \times A_s \xrightarrow{\mathsf{tr}} \widetilde{O}$ actually takes values in the ring O. It follows from the definition of Rosenlicht differential forms that A_s is a coisotropic Lie subalgebra of A_K with respect to the pairing (33). $\square$

Remark 7.6. Using the technique of [10] on the description of Schurian objects in brick–tame matrix problems, one can construct canonical forms (66) and (67) for arbitrary mutually prime $(n,d)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{Z}$ and prove that the last statement of Proposition 7.4 holds in the general case as well. Therefore, Proposition 4.7 is true in a greater generality, too.

7.3. **Examples of solutions of CYBE, arising from torsion free sheaves.** Let $(E,\mathcal{A})$ be a pair from Proposition 7.5. The main result of this section are concrete formulae for the corresponding geometric r–matrix. We denote by $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}):=\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_+\oplus\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{g}_-$ the conventional triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into the direct sum of the Lie algebras of strictly upper triangular, diagonal and strictly lower triangular matrices. Let $\Phi_\pm$ be the set of postive/negative roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then we have: $\Phi_+=\big\{(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}^2\big|1\leq i<j\leq n\big\}$; for $\alpha=(i,j)\in\Phi_\pm$ we write $e_\alpha=e_{i,j}$. Let $\xi=\exp\Big(\dfrac{2\pi i}{n}\Big)$ be a primitive n–th root of 1 and $\zeta_j=\xi^j$. Then we have the following "natural" bases of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$:

- $\big(g_1,\dots,g_{n-1}\big)$ with $g_j=\mathsf{diag}\big(1,\zeta_j,\dots,\zeta_j^{n-1}\big)$ for $1\leq j\leq n-1$.
- $\big(h_1,\dots,h_{n-1}\big)$ with $h_j=\mathsf{diag}\big(0,\dots,0,1,-1,0,\dots,0\big)$, where 1 stands at the j-th entry for $1\leq j\leq n-1$.

In both cases, $\big(g_1^*,\dots,g_{n-1}^*\big)$ and $\big(h_1^*,\dots,h_{n-1}^*\big)$ denote the dual bases of $\mathfrak{h}$.

Theorem 7.7. *Let $\rho\in\Gamma(\breve{E}\times\breve{E}\setminus\Delta,\mathcal{A}\boxtimes\mathcal{A})$ be the geometric r–matrix. Then there exists a trivialization $\Gamma(\breve{E},\mathcal{A})\xrightarrow{\xi}\mathfrak{g}\otimes\Gamma\big(\breve{E},\mathcal{O}_E\big)$ such the corresponding solution $r=\rho^\xi$ of the classical Yang–Baxter equation is the following.*

(1) *If E is nodal then $r(x,y)=r_{\mathsf{st}}(x,y)+r_{\mathfrak{h}}+r_{\mathsf{sp}}$, where*

$$r_{\mathsf{st}}(x,y)=\frac{x}{y-x}\gamma+\Big(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}g_j^*\otimes g_j+\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi_+}e_{-\alpha}\otimes e_\alpha\Big)$$

is the standard quasi–trigonometric r–matrix [6, 33],

$$r_{\mathfrak{h}}=\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big(\frac{1+\zeta_j}{1-\zeta_j}\Big)g_{n-j}\otimes g_j\quad\text{and}\quad r_{\mathsf{sp}}=\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi_+}e_{-\alpha}\wedge\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p(\alpha)}e_{\tau^k(\alpha)}\Big).$$

Here, for $\alpha=(i,j)\in\Phi_+$ we put: $p(\alpha):=i-1$ and $\tau(\alpha):=(i-1,j-1)\in\Phi_+$ provided $i\geq 2$, whereas $a\wedge b:=a\otimes b-b\otimes a$ for $a,b\in\mathfrak{g}$. In fact, $r_{\mathsf{sp}}\in\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ is the constant solution of the classical Yang–Baxter equation, satisfying the constraint $r_{\mathsf{sp}}+r_{\mathsf{sp}}^{21}=\gamma$, which is given by the Belavin–Drinfeld triple (Γ_1,Γ_2,τ), where



(2) *If E is cuspidal then*

$$r(x,y)=\frac{\gamma}{y-x}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}h_k^*\wedge e_{k+1,k}+\sum_{k\geq l+2}\big(\sum_{j=0}^{l-1}e_{l-j,k-j-1}\big)\wedge e_{k,l}.$$

Proof. It was explained in [18, Subsection 5.1.4] and [16, Corollary 6.5] that a choice of homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^1$ together with a choice of trivializations $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)\Big|_{\widetilde{Z}}\xrightarrow{\xi_c}\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Z}}$ specify a trivialization $\Gamma(\breve{E},\mathcal{A})\xrightarrow{\xi}\mathfrak{g}\otimes\Gamma\big(\breve{E},\mathcal{O}_E\big)$ and an embedding $\Gamma\big(E,\mathcal{A}(x)\big)\xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}}\mathfrak{g}[z]$ such that the following diagram of vector spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}\mathcal{A}\big|_x & \xleftarrow{\mathsf{res}_x^{\omega}} & \Gamma\big(E,\mathcal{A}(x)\big) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ev}_y} & \mathcal{A}\big|_y\\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\xi_x} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\bar{\xi}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\xi_y}\\ \mathfrak{g} & \xleftarrow{\overline{\mathsf{res}}_x} & \mathsf{Sol} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathsf{ev}}_y} & \mathfrak{g}\end{array}\tag{70}$$

is commutative, where

- The vector space $\mathsf{Sol}:=\mathsf{Im}(\bar{\xi})\subset\mathfrak{g}[z]$ has the following description:

$$\mathsf{Sol}=\left\{A+zB\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{rcl}A\Theta_0&=&-x\Theta_0C\\ B\Theta_\infty&=&\Theta_\infty C\end{array}\ \text{for some } C\in\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})\right\}\tag{71}$$

  in the nodal case, and

$$\mathsf{Sol}=\left\{A+zB\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{rcl}B\Theta_\circ&=&\Theta_\circ D\\ A\Theta_\circ+B\Theta_\varepsilon&=&(\Theta_\varepsilon-x\Theta_\circ)\cdot D\end{array}\ \text{for some } D\in\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})\right\}\tag{72}$$

  in the cuspidal case.
- For $\Phi=A+zB\in\mathsf{Sol}$ we have:

$$\overline{\mathsf{ev}}_y(\Phi)=\frac{1}{y-x}(A+yB)\quad\text{and}\quad\overline{\mathsf{res}}_x(\Phi)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{x}(A+xB) & \text{nodal case}\\ A+xB & \text{cuspidal case.}\end{cases}$$

We denote by $r^{\sharp}_{x,y}:=\overline{\mathsf{ev}}_y\circ\overline{\mathsf{res}}_x^{-1}\in\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$, which is the image of the tensor $r(x,y)\in\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$ induced by the trace form.

1. The nodal case. To begin with, let us observe that

$$\mathsf{Sol}=\left\{-x\left(\begin{array}{c|c}D&0\\\hline a&\beta\end{array}\right)+z\left(\begin{array}{c|c}\beta&b\\\hline 0&D\end{array}\right)\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{l}D\in\mathfrak{gl}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}),\ \beta=-\mathsf{tr}(D)\\ a,b\in\mathsf{Mat}_{1\times(n-1)}(\mathbb{C})\end{array}\right\}$$

From this description of Sol it is easy to conclude that for any $1\leq i<j\leq n$ we have:

$$\overline{\mathsf{res}}_x^{-1}\big(e_{i,j}\big)=\begin{cases}ze_{i,j}+(z-x)\big(e_{i-1,j-1}+\cdots+e_{1,j-i+1}\big) & i\geq 2\\ ze_{1,j} & i=1\end{cases}$$

Consider the linear automorphism $\mathbb{C}^n\xrightarrow{\tau}\mathbb{C}^n$ given by the formula $\tau(\beta_1,\beta_2,\ldots,\beta_n)=(\beta_2,\ldots,\beta_n,\beta_1)$. Clearly, the spectrum of τ is $\big\{1,\xi,\ldots,\xi^{n-1}\big\}=\big\{1,\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_{n-1}\big\}$. We view $\mathbb{C}^n$ as the space of the diagonal matrices of size $(n\times n)$. Then $(g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1})$ is a

basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ and moreover: $\tau(g_j) = \zeta_j g_j$ and $g_j^* = \dfrac{1}{n} g_{n-j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. For any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have: $h - \tau(h) \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathsf{res}}_x^{-1}} zh - x\tau(h) \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathsf{ev}}_y} \dfrac{y}{y-x}\big(h - \tau(h)\big) + \tau(h)$. Therefore, we get:

$$\begin{cases} r^{\sharp}_{x,y}(g_j) = \dfrac{y}{y-x} g_j + \dfrac{\zeta_j}{1-\zeta_j} g_j & \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ r^{\sharp}_{x,y}(e_\alpha) = \dfrac{y}{y-x} e_\alpha + \sum\limits_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} e_{-\alpha} \wedge \Big(\sum\limits_{k=1}^{p(\alpha)} e_{\tau^k(\alpha)} \Big) & \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in \Phi_+. \end{cases}$$

From these formulae we obtain: $r(x,y) = r_{\mathsf{st}}(x,y) + r_{\mathfrak{h}} + r_{\mathsf{sp}} =$

$$\Big(\frac{x}{y-x}\gamma + \widehat{\gamma} \Big) + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Big(\frac{1+\zeta_j}{1-\zeta_j} \Big) g_{n-j} \otimes g_j + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} e_{-\alpha} \wedge \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p(\alpha)} e_{\tau^k(\alpha)} \Big),$$

where $\widehat{\gamma} = \Big(\dfrac{1}{2} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} g_j^* \otimes g_j + \sum\limits_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} e_{-\alpha} \otimes e_\alpha \Big) = \Big(\dfrac{1}{2n} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{n-j} \otimes g_j + \sum\limits_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} e_{-\alpha} \otimes e_\alpha \Big)$.

2. The cuspidal case. Let $C \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\overline{\mathsf{res}}_x^{-1}(C) =: A + zB \in \mathsf{Sol}$. Then we have:

$$C \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathsf{res}}_x^{-1}} A + zB \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathsf{ev}}_y} \frac{1}{y-x}\big(A + xB\big) + B = \frac{1}{y-x} C + B.$$

It follows from the description (72) that the matrices $A, B \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $C \in \mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ obey the following relation:

$$\begin{cases} (C|0) &= \Theta_\varepsilon D - (0|B) \\ (B|0) &= \Theta_\circ D. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we get the following formulae:

$$\begin{cases} r^{\sharp}_{x,y}(h_j) &= \dfrac{1}{y-x} h_j + e_{j+1,j} & \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ r^{\sharp}_{x,y}(e_{j,j+1}) &= \dfrac{1}{y-x} e_{j,j+1} - h_j^* & \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ r^{\sharp}_{x,y}(e_{k,l}) &= \dfrac{1}{y-x} e_{k,l} - \big(e_{k,l-1} + e_{k-1,l-2} + \cdots + e_{1,k-l} \big) & \text{for all} \quad k-l \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

which imply the result. □

7.4. **Geometrization of the solutions of CYBE for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$.** According to results of Belavin and Drinfeld [6] and Stolin [51], there are precisely six non–equivalent solutions of the classical Yang–Baxter equation (say, with one spectral parameter (8)): one elliptic, two trigonometric and three rational for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Fix the following basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$: $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

1. The elliptic solution of Baxter

$$r(z) = \frac{\mathrm{cn}(z)}{\mathrm{sn}(z)} h \otimes h + \frac{1 + \mathrm{dn}(z)}{\mathrm{sn}(z)} (e \otimes f + f \otimes e) + \frac{1 - \mathrm{dn}(z)}{\mathrm{sn}(z)} (e \otimes e + f \otimes f)$$

corresponds to the geometric r–matrix associated with the pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$, where E is an elliptic curve and $\mathcal{A} = Ad(\mathcal{P})$ for a simple vector bundle $\mathcal{P}$ of rank two and degree one; see for example [18, Theorem 4.3.6].

2. The trigonometric solution of Baxter

$$r(z) = \frac{\cot(z)}{2} h \otimes h + \frac{1}{\sin(z)}(e \otimes f + f \otimes e)$$

corresponds to the geometric r–matrix associated with the pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$, where E is a nodal Weierstraß cubic and $\mathcal{A} = \overline{Ad}(\mathcal{Q})$ for the simple torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$ of rank two and Euler characteristic one, which is not locally free; see Theorem 7.7.

3. The trigonometric solution of Cherednik [21]

$$r(z) = \frac{\cot(z)}{2} h \otimes h + \frac{1}{\sin(z)}(e \otimes f + f \otimes e) + \sin(z) f \otimes f$$

corresponds to the geometric r–matrix associated with the pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$, where E is a nodal Weierstraß cubic and $\mathcal{A} = Ad(\mathcal{P})$ for a simple locally free sheaf $\mathcal{P}$ of rank two and degree one; see [18, Subsection 5.2.3].

4. The rational solution of Yang $r(z) = \frac{1}{z}\left(\frac{1}{2} h \otimes h + e \otimes f + f \otimes e\right)$ corresponds to the geometric r–matrix associated with the pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$, where E is the cuspidal Weierstraß cubic and $\mathcal{A} = \nu_* \left(sl \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} \end{pmatrix} \right)$, where $\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\nu} E$ is the normalization map and $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal sheaf of the point $\nu^{-1}(s)$; see Remark 4.5.

5. The rational solution of Stolin [51]

$$r(z) = \frac{1}{z}\left(\frac{1}{2} h \otimes h + e \otimes f + f \otimes e\right) + z(f \otimes h + h \otimes f) - z^3 f \otimes f$$

corresponds to the geometric r–matrix associated with the pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$, where E is the cuspidal Weierstraß cubic and $\mathcal{A} = Ad(\mathcal{P})$ for a simple locally free sheaf $\mathcal{P}$ of rank two and degree one; see [18, Subsection 5.2.5].

6. The rational solution

$$r(z) = \frac{1}{z}\left(\frac{1}{2} h \otimes h + e \otimes f + f \otimes e\right) + \frac{1}{2}\big(h \otimes f - f \otimes h\big)$$

corresponds to the geometric r–matrix associated with the pair $(E, \mathcal{A})$, where E is the cuspidal Weierstraß cubic and $\mathcal{A} = \overline{Ad}(\mathcal{Q})$ for the simple torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$ of rank two and Euler characteristic one, which is not locally free; see Theorem 7.7.

References

[1] M. Atiyah, *Vector bundles over an elliptic curve*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **7** (1957) 414–452.

[2] J. Avan, M. Talon, *Rational and trigonometric constant nonantisymmetric R–matrices*, Phys. Lett. B **241** (1990), no. **1**, 77–82.

[3] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters, A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces,* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) **4**, Springer (2004).

[4] A. Beauville, Y. Laszlo, *Un lemme de descente*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **320** (1995), no. **3**, 335–340.

[5] A. Belavin, *Discrete groups and integrability of quantum systems*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **14**, no. **4**, 18–26, 95 (1980).
[6] A. Belavin, V. Drinfeld, *Solutions of the classical Yang–Baxter equation for simple Lie algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **16**, no. **3**, 159–180 (1983).
[7] A. Belavin, V. Drinfeld, *The classical Yang–Baxter equation for simple Lie algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **17**, no. **3**, 69–70 (1983).
[8] L. Bodnarchuk, I. Burban, Yu. Drozd, G.-M. Greuel, *Vector bundles and torsion free sheaves on degenerations of elliptic curves*, Global aspects of complex geometry, 83–128, Springer–Verlag (2006).
[9] L. Bodnarchuk, Yu. Drozd, *Stable vector bundles over cuspidal cubics*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **1** (2003), no. **4**, 650–660.
[10] L. Bodnarchuk, Yu. Drozd, *One class of wild but brick–tame matrix problems*, J. Algebra **323** (2010), no. **10**, 3004–3019.
[11] L. Bodnarchuk, Yu. Drozd, G.-M. Greuel, *Simple vector bundles on plane degenerations of an elliptic curve*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. **1**, 137–174.
[12] I. Burban, *Stable vector bundles on a rational curve with one node*, Ukraïn. Mat. Zh., **55** (2003), no. **7**, 867–874.
[13] I. Burban, *Abgeleitete Kategorien und Matrixprobleme*, PhD Thesis, Kaiserslautern 2003, available at https://kluedo.ub.uni-kl.de/frontdoor/index/index/year/2003/docId/1434.
[14] I. Burban and Yu. Drozd, *Coherent sheaves on rational curves with simple double points and transversal intersections*, Duke Math. J. **121** (2004), no. **2**, 189–229.
[15] I. Burban, Yu. Drozd, G.-M. Greuel, *Vector bundles on singular projective curves*, Applications of algebraic geometry to coding theory, physics and computation, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1–15 (2001).
[16] I. Burban, T. Henrich, *Vector bundles on plane cubic curves and the classical Yang–Baxter equation*, Journal of the European Math. Society **17**, no. **3**, 591–644 (2015).
[17] I. Burban, B. Kreußler, *Derived categories of irreducible projective curves of arithmetic genus one*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. **5**, 1231–1262.
[18] I. Burban, B. Kreußler, *Vector bundles on degenerations of elliptic curves and Yang–Baxter equations*, Memoirs of the AMS **220** (2012), no. **1035**.
[19] I. Burban, A. Zheglov, *Fourier–Mukai transform on Weierstraß cubics and commuting differential operators*, `arXiv:1602.08694`.
[20] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press (1994).
[21] I. Cherednik, *On a method of constructing factorized S–matrices in terms of elementary functions*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **43** (1980), no. **1**, 117–119.
[22] I. Cherednik, *Determination of τ–functions for generalized affine Lie algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **17** (1983), no. **3**, 93–95.
[23] V. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, J. Soviet Math. **41** (1988), no. **2**, 898–915.
[24] Yu. Drozd, G.-M. Greuel, *Tame and wild projective curves and classification of vector bundles*, J. Algebra **246** (2001), no. **1**, 1–54.
[25] L. Faddeev, L. Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer (2007).
[26] A. Fialowski, *An example of formal deformations of Lie algebras*, in Deformation theory of algebras and structures and applications, 375–401, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. **247**, Kluwer 1988.
[27] P. Golod, *Hamiltonian systems connected with anisotropic affine Lie algebras and higher Landau–Lifshits equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A (1984), no. **5**, 6–8.
[28] P. Etingof, O. Schiffmann, *Lectures on quantum groups*, International Press (2002).
[29] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, E. Vasserot, *Elliptic Algebras and Equivariant Elliptic Cohomology*, `arXiv:q-alg/9505012`.
[30] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture Notes in Math. **20**, Springer (1966).
[31] J. Hurtubise, E. Markman, *Surfaces and the Sklyanin bracket*, Comm. Math. Phys. **230** (2002), no. **3**, 485–502.

[32] G. Kuroki, T. Takebe, *Twisted Wess–Zumino–Witten models on elliptic curves*, Comm. Math. Phys. **190** (1997), no. **1**, 1—56.
[33] S. Khoroshkin, I. Pop, M. Samsonov, A. Stolin, V. Tolstoy, *On some Lie bialgebra structures on polynomial algebras and their quantization*, Comm. Math. Phys. **282** (2008), no. **3**, 625—662.
[34] Y. Lekili, A. Polishchuk, *Associative Yang–Baxter equation and Fukaya categories of square–tiled surfaces*, `arXiv:1608.08992`.
[35] J. Maillet, *Lax equations and quantum groups*, Phys. Lett. B **245** (1990), no. **3–4**, 480–486.
[36] F. Montaner, A. Stolin, E. Zelmanov, *Classification of Lie bialgebras over current algebras*, Selecta Math. **16** (2010), no. **4**, 935–962.
[37] A. Neeman, *The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), no. **1**, 205–236.
[38] A. Parshin, *Integrable systems and local fields*, Comm. Algebra **29** (2001), no. 9, 4157–4181.
[39] A. Polishchuk, *Classical Yang–Baxter equation and the A_∞–constraint,* Adv. Math. **168** (2002), no. **1**, 56–95.
[40] A. Polishchuk, *Massey products on cycles of projective lines and trigonometric solutions of the Yang–Baxter equations*, Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II, 573–617, Progr. Math. **270**, Birkhäuser (2009).
[41] A. Reyman, M. Semenov–Tian–Shansky, *Lie algebras and Lax equations with spectral parameter on an elliptic curve*, Zap. Nauchn. Semin. Leningr. Otd. Mat. Inst. Steklova **150**, 104–118 (1986).
[42] A. Reyman, M. Semenov–Tian–Shansky, *Integrable systems II*, Dynamical systems. VII. Encycl. Math. Sci. **16**, 83–259 (1994).
[43] T. Schedler, *Trigonometric solutions of the associative Yang–Baxter equation*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), no. **2-3**, 301–321.
[44] J. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **151**, Springer (1994).
[45] E. Sklyanin, *On the complete integrability of the Landau–Lifshitz equation*, preprint LOMI E–3–79 (1979).
[46] T. Skrypnyk, *Integrable quantum spin chains, non–skew symmetric r–matrices and quasigraded Lie algebras*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2006), no. **1**, 53–67.
[47] T. Skrypnyk, *Spin chains in magnetic field, non–skew–symmetric classical r–matrices and BCS–type integrable systems*, Nuclear Phys. B **806** (2009), no. **3**, 504–528.
[48] T. Skrypnyk, *Quasi–periodic functions on the torus and sl(n)–elliptic Lie algebra*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), no. **2**, 023502.
[49] T. Skrypnyk, *Infinite–dimensional Lie algebras, classical r–matrices, and Lax operators: two approaches*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), no. **10**, 103507,
[50] D. Smyth, *Modular compactifications of the space of pointed elliptic curves I*, Compositio Math. **147**, no. **3** 877–913 (2011).
[51] A. Stolin, *On rational solutions of Yang–Baxter equation for $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$*, Math. Scand. **69**, no. **1**, 57–80 (1991).
[52] A. Stolin, *On rational solutions of Yang–Baxter equations. Maximal orders in loop algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **141** (1991), no. **3**, 533–548.
[53] A. Stolin, *Rational solutions of the classical Yang–Baxter equation and quasi Frobenius Lie algebras,* Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **137**, no. **3**, 285–293 (1999).
[54] J. Tate, *Residues of differentials on curves*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **1** (1968) 149–159.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Weyertal 86–90, D–50931 Köln, Germany
E-mail address: `burban@math.uni-koeln.de`

Mathematisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Weyertal 86–90, D–50931 Köln, Germany
E-mail address: `lgalinat@math.uni-koeln.de`